arXiv:1704.00302v1 [math.DS] 2 Apr 2017

APERIODIC ORDER AND SPHERICAL DIFFRACTION, II: THE SHADOW TRANSFORM AND THE DIFFRACTION FORMULA

MICHAEL BJÖRKLUND, TOBIAS HARTNICK, AND FELIX POGORZELSKI

ABSTRACT. We introduce and study model sets in commutative spaces, i.e. homogeneous spaces of the form G/K where G is a (typically non-abelian) locally compact group and K is a compact subgroup such that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair. Examples include model sets in hyperbolic spaces, Riemannian symmetric spaces, regular trees and generalized Heisenberg groups. Continuing our work from [6] we associate with every regular model set in G/K a Radon measure on $K\backslash G/K$ called its spherical auto-correlation. We then define the spherical diffraction of the regular model set as the spherical Fourier transform of its spherical auto-correlation in the sense of Gelfand pairs. The main result of this article ensures that the spherical diffraction of a uniform regular model set in a commutative space is pure point. In fact, we provide an explicit formula for the spherical diffraction of such a model set in terms of the automorphic spectrum of the underlying lattice and the underlying window. To describe the coefficients appearing in this formula, we introduce a new type of integral transform for functions on the internal space of the model set. This integral transform can be seen as a shadow of the spherical Fourier transform of physical space in internal space and is hence referred to as the shadow transform of the model set. To illustrate our results we work out explicitly several examples, including the case of model sets in the Heisenberg group.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and overview

This article is a continuation of [6], in which we introduced model sets in (typically non-abelian) locally compact second countable (lcsc) groups G and developed a theory of auto-correlation for such model sets. Here, we consider model sets in homogeneous spaces of the form $X = G/K$, where G is a lcsc group and $K < G$ is a compact subgroup. The theory of auto-correlation for such model sets can be developed in close analogy with the group case, leading to a notion of auto-correlation measure, which is a linear functional on the convolution algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ of bi-K-invariant compactly supported continuous functions on G.

Of particular interest is the case where $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is a commutative algebra under convolution. In this case, the pair (G, K) is called a *Gelfand pair*, and the homogeneous space $X = G/K$ is called a *commutative space* (see e.g. [33, 11, 12, 15]). Typical examples of commutative spaces include (real, complex or quaternion) hyperbolic spaces of arbitrary dimension, and more generally *Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-compact type*, but also (vertex sets of) *regular trees*. Connected commutative spaces associated with amenable lcsc groups are closely related to *nilmanifold pairs*, a typical example being given by the Heisenberg group and its higher-dimensional counterparts. All of the commutative spaces listed above admit model sets, and there is a well-developed Fourier theory for commutative space, which allows one in particular to associate with every positive-definite continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ its *spherical Fourier transform*, which is a Radon measure on a certain "dual" locally compact space formed by positive-definite spherical functions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 52C23; Secondary: 22D40, 22E40, 37A45.

In the classical case where G is abelian and K is the trivial group, the Fourier transform of the auto-correlation measure of a model set in $X = G$ admits a physical interpretation as the *diffraction measure* of the underlying model set, and the study of this measure is the main subject of the diffraction theory of model sets as developed further e.g. in [13, 22, 26, 23, 31, 30, 3, 2]. Yves Meyer [25] showed that the diffraction measure of a regular model set is a pure point measure, an observation which is related to the appearance of sharp Bragg peaks in the diffraction picture. In fact, Meyer's diffraction formula describes the diffraction measure completely in terms of the automorphic spectrum of the underlying lattice and the Fourier transform of the underlying window with respect to the internal variables of the model set.

If (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, then we can define the *spherical diffraction* of a regular model set in the commutative space $X = G/K$ as the spherical Fourier transform of its auto-correlation measure. Unlike the classical case, this is no longer a Radon measure on a topological group, but rather a Radon measure on the dual of the commutative space X (i.e. the space of positive-definite spherical functions of the pair (G, K)). Despite this difference, it is natural to ask in which generality spherical diffraction is pure point. One may also ask whether there is a general *spherical diffraction formula* for model sets in commutative spaces, which would specialize to Meyer's formula in the classical case.

It turns out that the answer to these questions depends crucially on whether the regular model set under consideration is uniform or non-uniform. (Recall that the existence of non-uniform model sets is a non-abelian phenomenon with no counterpart in the classical theory.) In the present article, we focus on the diffraction theory of uniform regular model sets. We show that the spherical diffraction of a uniform regular model set is a pure point Radon measure. We also provide a general formula for this diffraction measure, and work out several special cases in some detail.

As in the classical case, the support of the diffraction measure is closely related to the automorphic spectrum of the underlying lattice, whereas the coefficients are related to the underlying window. However, there is no longer a notion of Fourier transform for the internal space of the model set, and thus the computation of these coefficients requires a new type of integral transform for functions on internal space. This integral transform can be seen as a "shadow" of the spherical Fourier transform on physical space, transported to internal space by means of the underlying lattice; we thus refer to it as the *shadow transform*. If G is totally-disconnected and K a compact open subgroup, the shadow transform reduces essentially to a version of the Hecke-correspondence, whereas in the classical case, it is related to the Fourier transform of the internal space. In accordance with this case, the coefficients in the spherical diffraction formula are in general given by the shadow transform of the (characteristic function of the) underlying window.

Although we do not develop the spherical diffraction theory of non-uniform model sets in the present article, we would like to mention that our proof of the diffraction formula can in principle be generalized to this case. The main difference is that in the non-uniform case the automorphic spectrum of the underlying lattice is non-discrete, and thus the spherical diffraction measure will no longer be pure point. Defining the shadow transform and deriving the diffraction formula in the case of continuous spectrum requires some care, and is beyond the scope of the present article. Still we would like to emphasize that the phenomenon of pure point diffraction, which was the main motivation to study model sets as models for quasi-crystals, is directly connected to uniformity of the underlying lattice and is not at all a general phenomenon in model sets beyond the abelian case.

We now describe the results of this article in more details.

1.2. **Model sets in commutative spaces and their spherical auto-correlation**

Let G be a lcsc group and $K < G$ a compact subgroup. We equip $X := G/K$ with the quotient topology with respect to the canonical quotient map $\pi : G \to G/K$ and refer to the image of a (regular, uniform) model set[1] in G under π as a *(regular, uniform) model set* in X. The space $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of closed subsets of X is a compact metrizable G-space with respect to the Chabauty-Fell topology, and we define the *hull* of a model set in X as the corresponding orbit closure. In analogy with the group case [6, Thm. 1.1], we establish the following result in Corollary 2.13:

Proposition 1.1 (Punctured hulls of regular model sets)**.** *Let P be a regular model set in G/K with hull Y_P. Then $Y_P^\times = Y_P \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ admits a unique G-invariant probability measure ν, which is also the unique μ-stationary measure for any admissible probability measure μ on G. Moreover, if P is uniform, then $Y_P = Y_P^\times$ is a compact minimal G-space.*

This allows us to define the auto-correlation of P in terms of the measure ν as follows: Given $f \in C_c(G/K)$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}f : Y_P \to \mathbb{R}$ the *periodization* of f as given by

$$\mathcal{P}f(Q) := \sum_{x \in Q} f(x).$$

Then there is a unique linear functional $\eta_\nu^{(2)}$ on $C_c((G/K)^2)$, called the *second correlation* of ν such that

$$\eta_\nu^{(2)}(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \int_{Y_P} \mathcal{P}f_1 \cdot Pf_2 \, d\nu \quad (f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G/K)).$$

This linear functional is G-invariant and thus descends to a positive-definite continuous linear functional η_P on $C_c(K\backslash G/K) \cong C_c(G\backslash(G/K)^2)$, which we refer to as the *spherical auto-correlation* of the regular model set P.

If (G, K) is moreover a Gelfand pair, then the corresponding spherical Fourier transform associates with η_P a Radon measure $\widehat{\eta}_P$ on the space $\mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ of positive-definite spherical functions of this Gelfand pair (see Lemma 3.9). We then refer to $\widehat{\eta}_P$ as the *spherical diffraction measure* of P.

1.3. **Approximation of the spherical auto-correlation for Gelfand pairs**

As in the group case, one is interested in approximation formulas for the spherical auto-correlation. If one assumes again that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, then the existence of such approximation formulas can be established under very general conditions, using spectral methods. To state the general result, let (F_t) be a sequence of K-invariant compact subsets of G/K of positive Haar measure, and let (β_t) be the associated sequence of probability measures on G defined by

$$\beta_t(\rho) = \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} \rho(s) \, dm_G(s), \quad \text{for } \rho \in C_c(G).$$

We call (F_t) a *good approximation sequence* for the model set P if it is weakly admissible in the sense of [6, Def. 5.1] (which is a very mild regularity condition) and for every positive-definite spherical function $\omega \neq 1$ in the automorphic spectrum of the underlying lattice we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} \widehat{\beta}_t(\omega) = 0$. In Theorem A.2 we establish the following approximation result:

[1] We remind the reader that (regular, uniform) model sets in G were defined in [6, Def. 2.6]. In the sequel we assume that the reader is familiar with the basic terminology and main results from [6].

Theorem 1.2 (Approximation of the spherical auto-correlation of a model set)**.** *Let $P \subset G/K$ be a regular model set and let (F_t) be a good approximation sequence for P. Then the spherical auto-correlation $\eta_P \in \mathcal{R}(K\backslash G/K)$ is given by*

$$\eta_P(f) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \sum_{x\in P_0\cap F_t} \sum_{y\in P_0} f(x^{-1}y) \quad (f \in C_c(K\backslash G/K)).$$

There are plenty of examples of good approximation sequences. In the amenable case, every weakly admissible Følner sequence is a good approximation sequence. In the non-amenable case, the spectral condition can be more subtle. On the one hand, if G is a semisimple Lie group with maximal compact subgroup K, then the spectral condition holds automatically by the Howe–Moore theorem, hence every weakly admissible sequence is a good approximation sequence. On the other hand, for general non-amenable groups the spectral condition can fail in quite dramatic ways. To illustrate this, consider a regular tree T of even valency ≥ 30. As explained in Subsection A.4, one can construct a model set P_0 in T using simple lattices in products of trees as constructed by Burger and Mozes [7, 8]. A weakly admissible family $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of T is given by balls of radius $n \in \mathbb{N}$ around some fixed origin. In Proposition A.12 we establish:

Proposition 1.3 (Balls are bad approximation sequences in trees)**.** *The sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not a good approximation sequence, and there exists a compactly supported radial function $f \in C_c(T)$ such that the sequence*

$$\frac{1}{m_G(F_n)} \sum_{x\in P_0\cap F_n} \sum_{y\in P_0} f(x^{-1}y)$$

does not *converge.*

On the other hand it is an immediate consequence of the work of Lubotzky and Mozes [24] that the subsequence $(F_{2n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls of *even* radius is a good approximation sequence. In fact, the failure of the spectral condition is caused by the presence of a sign character in the automorphic spectrum of Burger–Mozes lattices. This can be seen as a variant of the Nevo-Stein phenomenon [28], which has no counterpart in the classical theory of abelian model sets.

1.4. **The shadow transform associated with a uniform cut-and-project scheme**

We now turn towards the spherical diffraction formula for uniform regular model sets in commutative spaces. Compared with the classical case, the main new ingredient is the so-called *shadow transform*. To define this transform, let (G, H, Γ) be a cut-and-project scheme in the sense of [6, Def. 2.3], and let $K < G$ be a compact subgroup such that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair. Denote by $\mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ the space of positive-definite spherical functions associated with (G, K). If $\Gamma < G \times H$ is uniform, then there exists a countable subset $\Omega_\Gamma \subset \mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ such that $L^2((G \times H)/\Gamma)^K$ decomposes over the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K) := C_c(K\backslash G/K)$ as

$$L^2((G \times H)/\Gamma)^K = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\omega\in\Omega_\Gamma}} L^2((G \times H)/\Gamma)^K_\omega,$$

where $L^2((G\times H)/\Gamma)^K_\omega$ is the corresponding weight space of weight ω, and where we assume that $L^2((G \times H)/\Gamma)^K_\omega \neq \{0\}$ for all Ω_Γ. Under this assumption, Ω_Γ is uniquely determined and called the *spherical automorphic spectrum* of Γ with respect to (G, K).

In the above setting, the shadow transform associated with every function $r \in C_c(H)$ a function $\mathcal{S}r : \Omega_\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$. The following characterization is established in Corollary 4.8. Here,

given a function $F \in C_c(G \times H)$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma F \in C_c((G \times H)/\Gamma)$ its periodization over the uniform lattice Γ.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a unique map $\mathcal{S} : C_c(H) \to \mathrm{Map}(\Omega_\Gamma, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ such that for all $r \in C_c(H)$ and d $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$,*

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}r(\omega) \cdot |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2.$$

It turns out that the shadow transform extends continuously to a certain exponentially weighted L^2-space (with weight depending on Γ). This allows one to extend the shadow transform to all compactly supported bounded measurable functions. In particular, if $W \subset H$ is a compact subset, the the shadow transform of its characteristic function χ_W is well-defined.

Since the understanding of the shadow transform is key to the understanding of the spherical diffraction formula, we provide several explicit computations of shadow transforms in Section 5. Several instances of the shadow transform have been studied before in the literature: When K is trivial and G and H are abelian, then the shadow transform is closely related to the Fourier transform of H. On the other hand, if G is totally-disconnected and K is a compact-open subgroup, then the shadow transform is closely related to the associated Hecke correspondence (see Subsection 5.6). In these cases, the kernel of the shadow transform is always trivial, but we do not know whether this holds in general. Note that $r \in C_c(H)$ lies in the kernel of the shadow transform if and only if the periodization of $f \otimes r$ over Γ vanishes for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$.

1.5. **The spherical diffraction formula for a uniform regular model set**

We now come to the main result of this article, the spherical diffraction formula. As in the previous subsection we assume that (G, H, Γ) is a uniform cut-and-project scheme, and that $K < G$ is a compact subgroup such that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, and we denote by Ω_Γ the spherical automorphic spectrum of Γ with respect to (G, K). We consider a regular uniform model set P in $X = G/K$ associated to (G, H, Γ) with underlying window $W_0 \subset H$. The following formula is established in Theorem 4.10.

Theorem 1.5 (Spherical diffraction formula for uniform regular model sets)**.** *The spherical diffraction of the uniform regular model set P is given by*

$$\widehat{\eta}_P = \frac{1}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}\chi_{W_0}(\omega) \cdot \delta_\omega.$$

In particular, P has pure point spherical diffraction.

To illustrate this theorem, let us work out a special case. An important source of examples of Gelfand pairs comes from certain isometry groups of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. In Subsection 5.4, we establish explicit formulas for the spherical diffraction of a large class of regular uniform model sets in such groups. In what follows, we specialize these results to the case of Heisenberg groups.

Let us begin by introducing some notation. For every positive integer p, denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ the standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^p$ and define a skew-symmetric 2-cocycle $\beta_p : (\mathbb{C}^p)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\beta_p(z, w) = \mathrm{Im}(\langle z, w \rangle_p).$$

Then the $(2p+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group $H_{2p+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as the central extension $\mathbb{C}^p \oplus_{\beta_p} \mathbb{R}$, and $U(p)$ acts by automorphisms on $H_{2p+1}(\mathbb{R})$ by acting on $\mathbb{C}^p$ by the standard representation and acting trivially on the center. We fix positive integers p and q and define

$$G := H_{2p+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes U(p), \quad K := U(p) \quad \text{and} \quad H := H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

By [33, Theorem 13.2.4] the pair (G, K) is a Gelfand pair. Now let

$$\Delta < \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^q \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi < \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$$

be lattices (which are automatically uniform). We assume that

$$\beta_p(z_1, z_2) + \beta_q(w_1, w_2) \in \Xi, \quad \text{for all } (z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2) \in \Delta,$$

and that Δ and Ξ project densely onto both factors and injectively to the respective first factor. For example, one can take $p = q$ and

$$\Delta = \{(a + b\sqrt{2}, a - b\sqrt{2}) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^p\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi = \{(c + d\sqrt{2}, c - d\sqrt{2}) \mid c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Now for every pair of lattices (Δ, Ξ) satisfying the conditions above, the subgroup

$$\Gamma := \{((z, s, e), (w, t)) \in G \times H \mid (z, w) \in \Delta, \ (s, t) \in \Xi\} < G \times H$$

is a uniform lattice, and (G, H, Γ) is a cut-and-project scheme. We are going to compute the spherical diffraction of model sets associated with this cut-and-project scheme with respect to the Gelfand pair (G, K).

Let us briefly describe the space of positive-definite spherical functions of the Gelfand pair (G, K), see e.g. [33, Chapter 13] for details. There are two types of positive-definite spherical functions, distinguished by triviality or non-triviality of the central character of the corresponding irreducible spherical representations. On the one hand, if $\eta_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}^p}$ is a character, then a positive definite spherical function $[\eta_1]$ on G is given by the normalized Bessel function

$$[\eta_1] : G \to \mathbb{C}, \quad [\eta_1](q_1, z_1, k) := \int_K \eta_1(k(q_1)) dm_K(k),$$

which depends only on the K-orbit $K\eta_1$ of η_1 in $\widehat{Q_1}$. The positive definite spherical functions of non-trivial central character are more complicated to describe. Given a non-trivial character $\chi_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{1\}$ we say that a continuous function $\omega_o : \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ is (K, χ_1)-*spherical* if $\omega_o \otimes \chi_1$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{C}^p \oplus_{\beta_p} \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\int_K \omega_o(z_1 + k(z_1))\chi_1(\beta_1(z_1, k(z_2)) \, dm_K(k) = \omega_o(z_1)\omega_o(z_2), \quad \text{for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^p.$$

Then $\omega_o \otimes \chi_1$ is a positive-definite spherical function with central character χ_1. All (K, χ_1)-spherical functions can be explicitly expressed in terms of Laguerre functions on $\mathbb{C}^p$, see e.g. [33, Proposition 13.2.11]; we shall not reproduce these formulas here as they are quite technical. Let us just remark that for every non-trivial character χ_1 there are countably infinitely many different (K, χ_1)-spherical functions.

To state the diffraction formula, we introduce the following notation: If L is a closed subgroup of either $\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^q$ or $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $L^\perp$ its annihilator, i.e. the set of characters which vanish on L. In particular we have lattices

$$\Delta^\perp < \widehat{\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^q} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{C}^q} \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}^q} \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi^\perp < \widehat{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}},$$

and we denote by $\Delta_1^\perp < \mathbb{C}^p$ and $\Xi_1^\perp < \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ their projections onto the respective first factors. Given $\eta_1 \in \Xi_1^\perp$ we define

$$[\eta_1]^{(2)} := \{\eta_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}^q \mid \exists k \in K : \ (k.\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Delta^\perp\},$$

and observe that this depends only on the Bessel function $[\eta_1]$ and not on the character η_1. Finally, given $\chi_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$, we define the χ_2-twisted convolution $\psi_1 *_{\chi_2} \psi_2$ of two integrable functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{C}^q \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(\psi_1 *_{\chi_2} \psi_2)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^q} \psi_1(w')\psi_2(w - w')\chi_2(\beta_q(w', w))\, dm_{\mathbb{C}^q}(w'),$$

and given a bounded measurable function $r : H \to \mathbb{C}$ and a character $\chi_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ we define $r_{\chi_2} : \mathbb{C}^q \to \mathbb{C}$

$$r_{\chi_2}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} r(z,t)\chi_2(-t)\, dt,$$

in particular $r_1(z,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} r(z,t)\, dt$.

Theorem 1.6 (Spherical diffraction formula for model sets in the Heisenberg group)**.** *Let $P \subset G/K = H_{2p+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be a regular uniform model set associated with the cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ) above, and with underlying window $W_0 \subset H$.*

(i) The automorphic spectrum of Γ decomposes as $\Omega_\Gamma = \Omega_\Gamma^1 \sqcup \Omega_\Gamma^2$, where

$$\Omega_\Gamma^1 = \big\{[\eta_1] \mid \eta_1 \in \Delta_1^\perp\big\},$$

and

$$\Omega_\Gamma^2 = \big\{\omega_o \otimes \chi_1 \mid \chi_1 \in \Xi_1^\perp \text{ and } \omega_o \text{ is } (K, \chi_1)\text{-spherical}\big\}.$$

(ii) The diffraction of P is of the form

$$\widehat{\eta}_P = \sum_{[\eta_1] \in \Omega_\Gamma^1} \frac{\mathcal{S}\chi_{W_o}([\eta_1])}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \cdot \delta_{[\eta_1]} + \sum_{\omega_o \otimes \chi_1 \in \Omega_\Gamma^2} \frac{\mathcal{S}\chi_{W_o}(\omega_o \otimes \chi_1)}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \cdot \delta_{\omega_o \otimes \chi_1}. \tag{1.1}$$

(iii) For $[\eta_1] \in \Omega_\Gamma^1$ the coefficient of $\delta_{[\eta_1]}$ in (1.1) *is given by*

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}\chi_{W_o}([\eta_1])}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2} = \sum_{\eta_2 \in ([\eta_1])^{(2)}} |\widehat{(\chi_{W_0})_1}(\eta_2)|^2.$$

(iv) For $\omega_o \otimes \chi_1 \in \Omega_\Gamma^2$ the coefficient of $\delta_{\omega_o \otimes \chi_1}$ in (1.1) *is given by*

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}\chi_{W_o}(\omega_o \otimes \chi_1)}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2} = \sup_\psi \Big| \sum_{(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \Delta} \omega_o(\delta_1)(\psi^* *_{\chi_2} (\chi_{W_0})_{\chi_2})(\delta_2)\Big|^2,$$

where χ_2 is the unique character such that $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp$ and the supremum is taken over all $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^q)$ such that

$$\sum_{(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \Delta} \omega_o(\delta_1)(\psi^* *_{\chi_2} \psi_{\chi_2})(\delta_2) = 1/\|\omega_o\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^p)}.$$

A more general version of this theorem is proved in Subsection 5.4.

1.6. **Organization of the article**

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we define and study model sets in homogeneous spaces and their spherical auto-correlation. In Section 3 we review the necessary background on Gelfand pairs and spherical Fourier transforms and use it to define the spherical diffraction of a model set in a commutative space. Section 4 is the heart of this article. Here we first show existence of the shadow transform and use it to establish the spherical diffraction formula. Section 5 is devoted to examples. Most notably, we work out the case of the Heisenberg group in some detail and explain the relation between the shadow transform and the Hecke correspondence in the totally-disconnected case. The appendix is devoted to the proof of the approximation theorem for the spherical auto-correlation (Theorem 1.2) and the discussion of examples and non-examples of good approximation sequences.

1.7. **Notational conventions**

The following notational conventions will be applied throughout this article:

All function spaces are complex-valued and all inner products are anti-linear in the first variable. Given a locally compact space X we denote by $C_c(X)$, $C_0(X)$ and $C_b(X)$ the function space of compactly supported continuous functions, continuous functions vanishing at infinity and continuous bounded functions respectively.

Given a group G and a function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\bar{f}$, $\check{f}$ and f^* respectively the functions on G given by

$$\bar{f}(g) := \overline{f(g)}, \quad \check{f}(g) := f(g^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(g) := \overline{f(g^{-1})}.$$

Given an action of G on a set Z we define a G-action on complex-valued functions on Z by $g.f(z) := f(g^{-1}.z)$. Moreover we denote by $Z^G \subset Z$ the subset of G-invariants.

If (X, ν) is a measure space and $f, g \in L^2(X, \nu)$, then we denote by

$$\langle f, g\rangle_X := \langle f, g\rangle_{(X,\nu)} := \int_X \bar{f} \cdot g \, d\nu$$

the L^2-inner product. Given a subset $A \subset X$ we denote by χ_A its characteristic function.

If G is a locally compact, second countable group, then we denote by m_G some fixed choice of left-Haar measure on G (normalized to total mass 1 in the compact case). We then denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$, $\mathcal{O}(G)$ and $\mathcal{K}(G)$ the sets of closed, open and compact subsets of G respectively. We also denote by e the identity element of G and by $\mathfrak{U}(G) = \mathfrak{U}_e(G)$ the identity neighbourhood filter of G.

Acknowledgement.

We thank Amos Nevo and Barak Weiss for numerous discussions and their interest in our work. We also profited from discussions with Michael Baake, Uri Bader, Daniel Lenz, Christoph Richard, Eitan Sayag, Boris Solomyak and Andreas Strömbergsson. We also thank the mathematics departments of Chalmers University and Technion, Haifa for their hospitality during our respective visits. Finally we thank the organizers and the participants of the Oberwolfach Arbeitsgemeinschaft on "Mathematical quasi-crystals" for the opportunity to present and discuss our work.

2. AUTO-CORRELATION OF MODEL SETS IN PROPER HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

2.1. **Spaces of closed subsets of proper homogeneous spaces**

Throughout this section we reserve the letter G to denote a lcsc group. Given a compact subgroup $K < G$ we denote by $\pi : G \to G/K$ the canonical projection map, and equip G/K with the coresponding quotient topology. Then G/K is a metrizable locally compact space, and π is a proper map, whence we refer to G/K as a *proper homogeneous space* of G. We observe that since K is compact, the projection π is a closed map. Indeed, if $A \subset G$ is closed, then $AK = \pi^{-1}(\pi(A))$ is a product of a closed and a compact set, hence closed.

Given a lcsc space Z we denote by $\mathcal{C}(Z)$ the collection of all closed subsets of Z, which we always equip with the *Chabauty–Fell topology*. We remind the reader that this topology is generated by the basic open subsets

$$U_V = \{P \in \mathcal{C}(Z) \mid P \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad U^C = \{P \in \mathcal{C}(Z) \mid P \cap C = \emptyset\},$$

where V and C range over all open, respectively compact subsets of Z.

For the rest of this section we fix a lcsc group G and a compact subgroup K and denote by $\pi : G \to G/K$ the canonical projection map. Since π is closed, it induces a map $\pi_* : \mathcal{C}(G) \to \mathcal{C}(G/K)$, $A \mapsto \pi(A)$, which is moreover surjective, since $A = \pi_*(\pi^{-1}(A))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{C}(G/K)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a lcsc group and $K < G$ a compact subgroup.*

(i) The map $\pi_* : \mathcal{C}(G) \to \mathcal{C}(G/K)$ is continuous.

(ii) The map $\pi_* : \mathcal{C}(G) \to \mathcal{C}(G/K)$ is closed.

In particular, the topology on $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$ coincides with the quotient topology with respect to π_*.

Proof. Note firstly that since π is surjective, two subsets of G/K intersect non-trivially if and only if their pre-images intersect non-trivially in G. Thus if $V \subset G/K$ is open and $C \subset G/K$ is compact, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^{-1}(U_V) &= \{Q \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid \pi(Q) \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = \{Q \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid QK \cap \pi^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{Q \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid Q \cap \pi^{-1}(V)K^{-1} \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \pi_*^{-1}(U^C) &= \{Q \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid Q \cap \pi^{-1}(C)K^{-1} = \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, observe that $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is open, since π is continuous, and thus

$$\widetilde{V} := \pi^{-1}(V)K^{-1} = \bigcup_{k \in K} \pi^{-1}(V)k^{-1}$$

is open. Similarly, $\pi^{-1}(C)$ is compact, since π is proper, and thus $\widetilde{C} := \pi^{-1}(C)K^{-1}$ is compact as the product of two compact sets. We thus have established that

$$\pi_*^{-1}(U_V) = U_{\widetilde{V}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*^{-1}\left(U^C\right) = U^{\widetilde{C}},$$

which implies (i), and (ii) follows from (i) and compactness of $\mathcal{C}(G)$. □

Since the action of G on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ by left-multiplication is jointly continuous we deduce:

Corollary 2.2. *The action of G on $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$ is jointly continuous.* □

Given a closed subset $\Lambda \subset G$, respectively $P \subset G/K$, we denote by X_Λ, respectively Y_P, the corresponding orbit closure in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, respectively $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$, i.e.

$$X_\Lambda := \overline{G.\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{C}(G) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_P := \overline{G.P} \subset \mathcal{C}(G/K). \tag{2.1}$$

Following our terminology in [6] we refer to X_Λ and Y_P as the *hulls* of Λ and P respectively.

Remark 2.3. Every subset $\Lambda \subset G$ gives rise to a family of "orbits" $(\Lambda_x)_{x\in G/K}$ in G/K given by

$$\Lambda_x := \{g.x \mid g \in \Lambda\} \subset G/K.$$

In studying these orbits we will focus on the orbit $\Lambda_o = \pi(\Lambda)$ through the basepoint $o := eK$. This is justified by the fact that the orbit Λ_{gK} is just the image of Λ under the projection $G \to G/(gKg^{-1})$, hence varying the basepoint amounts to replacing K by a conjugate, and thus every theorem about Λ_o applies also to arbitrary orbits.

Corollary 2.4. *Given $\Lambda \subset G$, the closed quotient map $\pi_* : \mathcal{C}(G) \to \mathcal{C}(G/K)$ restricts to a closed quotient map $\pi_\Lambda : X_\Lambda \to Y_{\Lambda_o}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 the restriction of π_* to X_Λ is continuous, and by Corollary 2.2 the image of X_Λ is given by Y_{Λ_o}. Since X_Λ is compact the map π_Λ is closed, hence a quotient map. □

Combining this observation with Remark 2.3 we deduce that all the hulls Y_{Λ_x} of orbits of Λ are quotients of the hull X_Λ of Λ.

2.2. **FLC sets in proper homogeneous spaces**

In [6] we defined a subset $\Lambda \subset G$ to have finite local complexity (FLC) provided $\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda$ is locally finite. We extend this definition to subsets of proper homogeneous spaces as follows:

Definition 2.5. A subset $P \subset G/K$ has *G-finite local complexity* (or *G-FLC* for short) if there exists an FLC subset $\Lambda \subset G$ such that $P = \pi(\Lambda)$.

As in the group case, the G-FLC condition guarantees that all elements of the hull are locally finite with uniform constants:

Proposition 2.6 (Finiteness properties of sets in the hull of an FLC set)**.** *If $P \subset G/K$ is a G-FLC set, then every $Q \in Y_P$ has G-FLC. Moreover, for every pre-compact set $C \subset G/K$ there exists $N_C > 0$ such that for all $Q \in Y_P$,*

$$|Q \cap C| < N_C. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Fix an FLC subset $\Lambda \subset G$ such that $P = \pi(\Lambda)$. By Corollary 2.4 the hull Y_P is a quotient of X_Λ. In particular, every $Q \in Y_P$ is of the form $Q = \pi(\Xi)$ for some $\Xi \in X_\Lambda$. By [5, Prop. 4.5] every element of X_Λ has FLC, and for every pre-compact subset $C' \subset G$ there exists a constant $M_{C'}$ such that

$$|\Xi \cap C'| < M_{C'} \text{ for all } \Xi \in X_\Lambda.$$

The former implies that every $Q = \pi(\Xi) \in Y_P$ has G-FLC, and if we define $N_C := M_{\pi^{-1}(C)}$ for every pre-compact subset $C \subset G/K$, then the latter implies that for every $Q = \pi(\Xi) \in X_\Lambda$ we have

$$|Q \cap C| = |\pi(\Xi) \cap C| \leq |\Xi \cap \pi^{-1}(C)| \leq M_{\pi^{-1}(C)} = N_C.$$ □

2.3. **The correlation measures of a measure on the hull of an FLC set**

Let $P \subset G/K$ be a G-FLC subset with hull $Y = Y_P \subset \mathcal{C}(G/K)$. By (2.2), there exists for every pre-compact subset $C \subset G/K$ a constant N_C such that

$$|Q \cap C| < N_C \text{ for all } Q \in Y.$$

In particular, if $f \in C_c(G/K)$ and $N_f := N_{\mathrm{supp}(f)}$, then $|Q \cap \mathrm{supp}(f)| < N_f$ and thus the sum

$$\mathcal{P}f(Q) := \sum_{x\in Q} f(x)$$

is finite for every $Q \in Y$. We refer to $\mathcal{P}f : Y_P \to \mathbb{R}$ as the *periodization* of f along P. If we want to stress the dependence on P we sometimes also write $\mathcal{P}_P f$ instead of $\mathcal{P}f$.

Proposition 2.7 (Properties of the periodization)**.** *For every G-FLC subset $P \subset G/K$ the following hold:*

(i) For every $f \in C_c(G/K)$ the periodization $\mathcal{P}f : Y_P \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

(ii) For every pre-compact subset $C \subset G/K$ there exists a constant $\alpha_C > 0$ such that for every $f \in C_c(G/K)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(f) \subset C$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}f\|_\infty \leq \alpha_C \cdot \|f\|_\infty.$$

(iii) The image $\mathcal{P}(C_c(G/K))$ of the periodization map separates points in $Y_P \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Proof. If $K = \{e\}$ this is deduced from [5, Prop. 4.5] in [5, Cor. 4.7, Prop. 5.1, Prop. 5.3 and Prop. 5.4]. Proposition 2.6 generalizes [5, Prop. 4.5] to the case of arbitrary K. The rest of the proof then proceeds literally as in the case $K = \{e\}$. □

From (ii) we deduce:

Corollary 2.8. *Let ν be a probability measure on Y_P. Then for every $n \geq 1$ there exists a unique Radon measure $\eta_\nu^{(n)}$ on $(G/K)^n$ such that*

$$\eta_\nu^{(n)}(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \int_{Y_P} \mathcal{P}f_1 \cdots \mathcal{P}f_n \, d\nu \quad (f_1, \ldots, f_n \in C_c(G/K)).$$ □

As in the group case, the measures $\eta_\nu^{(n)}$ are called the *correlation measures* of ν, and as in [6, Prop. 4.4] one deduces from Proposition 2.7.(iii) that these determine ν provided ν does not charge the empty set, i.e. $\nu(Y_P \cap \{\emptyset\}) = 0$.

2.4. **The auto-correlation of an invariant measure on the hull of an FLC set**

Let again $P \subset G/K$ be a G-FLC set and assume that there exists a G-invariant probability measure ν on the punctured hull $Y_P^\times := Y_P \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. By G-equivariance of the periodization map it then follows that all the correlation measures $\eta^{(n)}$ are invariant under the diagonal left-action of G on $(G/K)^n$. In particular, $\eta^{(2)}$ is a G-invariant Radon measure on $G/K \times G/K$.

From now on we assume that G is unimodular (as is the case in the examples of model sets we are interested in here). Then, as in [6, Sec. 4.3] we can identify Radon measures on $G/K \times G/K$ with right-$K \times K$-invariant Radon measures on $G \times G$, and similarly G-invariant Radon measures on $G/K \times G/K$ with Radon measures on $G\backslash(G/K \times G/K)$. Note that we have a homeomorphism

$$\iota : G\backslash(G/K \times G/K) \to K\backslash G/K, \quad \iota([g_1K, g_2K]) = Kg_1^{-1}g_2K,$$

and hence we can further identify G-invariant Radon measures on $G/K \times G/K$ with Radon measures on $K\backslash G/K$ via ι.

Definition 2.9. The Radon measure η_ν on $K\backslash G/K$ corresponding to $\eta_\nu^{(2)}$ via ι is called the *auto-correlation* of ν.

If ν happens to be the unique G-invariant measure on $Y_P^\times$, then $\eta_P := \eta_\nu$ depends only on P. In this situation we also refer to η_P as *auto-correlation* of the FLC set P.

Remark 2.10. If $f \in C_c(G/K)$ then we define $f^* \in C_c(K\backslash G)$ by

$$f^*(Kg) = \overline{f(g^{-1}K)}.$$

Given $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G/K)$ the convolution $f_1^* * f_2$ then defines a function in $C_c(K\backslash G/K)$, and the set of all such convolutions is dense in $C_c(K\backslash G/K)$. The auto-correlation η_ν is thus uniquely determined by the values it takes on such convolutions, and as in [6, Prop. 4.8] one shows that

$$\eta_\nu(f_1^* * f_2) = \eta_\nu^{(2)}(\overline{f_1} \otimes f_2).$$

It thus follows that η_ν is the unique Radon measure on $K\backslash G/K$ satisfying

$$\eta_\nu(f^* * f) = \|\mathcal{P}f\|_{L^2(\nu)} \quad (f \in C_c(G/K)). \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, η_ν is *positive-definite* in the sense that $\eta_\nu(f^* * f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in C_c(G/K)$.

Proposition 2.11 (Auto-correlation of FLC subsets in G vs. auto-correlation of their orbits)**.** *Let $\Lambda \subset G$ be an FLC subset and let $P := \pi(\Lambda) \subset G/K$. Let ν_Λ be a G-invariant probability measure on $X_\Lambda^\times$ and let $\nu_P := \pi_*\nu_\Lambda$ be the corresponding G-invariant probability measure on $Y_P^\times$. Then the auto-correlation of ν_Λ and ν_P are related by the formula*

$$\eta_{\nu_P} = \eta_{\nu_\Lambda}|_{C_c(K\backslash G/K)}.$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda : C_c(G) \to C(X_\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{P}_P : C_c(G/K) \to C(Y_P)$ denote the respective periodization maps and let $\pi^* : C_c(G/K) \to C_c(G)$, respectively $\pi_* : X_\Lambda \to Y_P$ denote the pullback, respectively push-forward, with respect to $\pi : G \to G/K$. Then by definition, for all $f \in C_c(G/K)$

$$\pi_* \circ \mathcal{P}_\Lambda \circ \pi^*(f) = \mathcal{P}_P(f)$$

We deduce that if $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G/K)$ and $\hat{f}_i := \pi^* f_i \in C_c(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\nu_\Lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{f}_1 \otimes \hat{f}_2) &= \int_{X_\Lambda} \mathcal{P}_\Lambda \hat{f}_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}_\Lambda \hat{f}_2 \, d\nu_\Lambda \\ &= \int_{Y_P} \mathcal{P}_P f_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}_P f_2 \, d\pi_*\nu_\Lambda \quad = \quad \eta_{\nu_P}^{(2)}(f_1 \otimes f_2). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows by taking G-invariants. □

2.5. **Auto-correlation of regular model sets in proper homogeneous spaces**

We remind the reader that (regular, uniform) model sets in G were defined in [6, Def. 2.6].

Definition 2.12. An orbit in G/K of a (regular, uniform) model set in G is called a *(regular, uniform) model set* in G/K.

By [5, Prop. 2.13] every model set in G, and thus also every model set in G/K, has finite local complexity. Moreover, the punctured hull of such model sets admits a unique G-invariant probability measure, hence the auto-correlation of model sets is well-defined. For model sets in G this property was established in [6], and it carries over to the general case, just as unique stationarity and minimality of the hull do:

Corollary 2.13 (Unique stationarity/ergodicity and minimality of the hull)**.** *Let P be a regular model set in a proper homogeneous space G/K of a lcsc group G. Then $Y_P^\times = Y_P\backslash\{\emptyset\}$ admits a unique G-invariant probability measure ν, which is also the unique μ-stationary measure for any admissible probability measure μ on G. Moreover, if P is uniform, then Y_P is minimal.*

Proof. By [6, Thm. 1.1] the hull of a regular (uniform) model set in G has the analogous properties. In view of Corollary 2.4 it thus remains to show only that if X is a uniquely stationary (respectively minimal) G-space and $\pi : X \to Y$ is a G-equivariant quotient map, then also Y is uniquely stationary (respectively minimal). For this we observe that if μ is

an admissible probability measure on G and ν is a μ-stationary probability measure on Y, then the set of all probability measures on X which project to ν is compact, non-empty and invariant under convolution by μ, hence contains a μ-stationary probability measure by the Kakutani fixpoint theorem. Thus every μ-stationary probability measure on Y is the push-forward of a μ-stationary probability measure on X, and hence unique stationarity descends from X to Y. The fact that minimality descends is obvious. □

In [6, Thm. 1.3] we established a general formula for the auto-correlation of a general regular model set in G. By Proposition 2.11 we obtain a similar formula for the auto-correlation of a regular model set in G/K which we record here for ease of reference. Given a cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ) (see [6, Def.2.3]) over G we denote by $Y_\Gamma := (G \times H)/\Gamma$ the corresponding *parameter space*, equipped with its Haar probability measure. Assume now that $\Lambda \subset G$ is a regular model set constructed from (G, H, Γ) with window $W_0 \subset H$ and let $P := \pi(\Lambda) \subset G/K$. Finally, denote by $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ the periodization over Γ.

Corollary 2.14 (Auto-correlation formula for regular model sets in G/K)**.** *Let $P \subset G/K$ be the regular model set constructed from the quadruple (G, H, Γ, W_0) as above. Then the auto-correlation of P is uniquely determined by the formula*

$$\eta_P(f^* * f) = \|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \circ \pi \otimes \chi_{W_0})\|^2_{L^2(Y_\Gamma)} \quad (f \in C_c(G/K)).$$

3. Spherical diffraction

3.1. Gelfand pairs and commutative spaces

Let G be a lcsc group and $K < G$ be a compact subgroup. According to [33, Thm. 9.8.1] the following properties of the pair (G, K) are equivalent:

(G1) The *Hecke algebra* $\mathcal{H}(G, K) := C_c(K\backslash G/K)$ of bi-K-invariant compactly supported continuous functions on G is commutative under convolution.
(G2) The Banach algebra $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$ of bi-K-invariant absolutely Haar-integrable function classes on G is commutative under convolution.
(G3) The measure algebra $\mathcal{M}(K\backslash G/K)$ of finite bi-K-invariant complex-valued measures on G is commutative under convolution.
(G4) The G-representation $L^2(G/K)$ is multiplicity free.
(G5) If V is an irreducible unitary G-representation and $V^K < V$ denotes the subspace of K-invariants, then $\dim V^K \leq 1$.

Definition 3.1. The pair (G, K) is called a *Gelfand pair* if it satisfies the equivalent properties (G1)–(G5) above. In this case, the corresponding proper homogeneous space G/K is called a *commutative space*.

Our basic reference concerning Gelfand pairs and commutative spaces are [33, 16]. For the remainder of this section, (G, K) denotes a Gelfand pair and $\pi : G \to G/K$ denotes the canonical projection.

3.2. Spherical functions and spherical representations

Recall that a *spherical representation* of a Gelfand pair (G, K) is a unitary G-representation (V, π_V) such that $V^K \neq \{0\}$. Positive-definite *spherical functions* provide a convenient parametrization of the irreducible spherical representations, and can be used to explicitly decompose

spherical representations into irreducibles. We briefly review both concepts in this subsection and introduce some relevant notation. The material of this subsection is fairly standard and can be found in [33, 11, 12, 15].

Definition 3.2. (1) A Radon measure m on G is called a *(G,K)-spherical measure* if it is bi-K-invariant and restricts to a (not necessarily continuous) algebra homomorphism $m : \mathcal{H}(G,K) \to \mathbb{C}$.

(2) A continuous function $\omega : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *(G,K)-spherical function* if the associated Radon measure m_ω defined by

$$m_\omega(f) := \int_G f(x)\omega(x^{-1})\, dm_G(x)$$

is a (G,K)-spherical measure.

(3) A measurable function $\omega : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if

$$\int_G \int_G \omega(x^{-1}y) f(x) \overline{f(y)} dm_G(x) dm_G(y) \geq 0 \quad (f \in C_c(G)). \tag{3.1}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(G,K)$, $\mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$ respectively $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$ the spaces of spherical, bounded spherical, respectively positive-definite spherical functions of the Gelfand pair (G,K).

Lemma 3.3 (Properties of spherical functions)**.** *(i) A bi-K-invariant continuous function $\omega : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is (G,K)-spherical if and only if $\omega \neq 0$ and*

$$\int_K \omega(xky) dm_K(k) = \omega(x)\omega(y) \quad (x,y \in G, k \in K). \tag{3.2}$$

Equivalently, $\omega(e) = 1$ and ω is a joint eigenfunction for the Hecke algebra, i.e. for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ there exists $\lambda_\omega(f) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f * \omega = \lambda_\omega(f)\omega$.

(ii) If $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$, then m_ω extends to a linear functional on $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$, and the map $\omega \to m_\omega$ provides an identification between $\mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$ and the Gelfand spectrum of the Banach algebra $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$.

(iii) Every measurable positive-definite function agrees almost everywhere with a continuous positive-definite function. A continuous function $\omega : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j}\, \omega(x_i^{-1}x_j) \geq 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in G),$$

and in this case $\omega^* = \omega$ and $\|\omega\|_\infty \leq \omega(e)$.

(iv) A function $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$ is positive-definite if and only if the associated Radon measure m_ω is positive-definite, i.e. $m_\omega(f^* * f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in C_c(G)$.

(v) If $\rho : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous bi-K-invariant function which satisfies $f * \rho = m_\omega(f) \cdot \rho$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$, then $\rho = \rho(e) \cdot \omega$.

Proof. (i) [11, Prop. 6.1.5 and 6.1.6] and [33, Thm. 8.2.6]. (ii) [11, Thm. 6.1.7] and [33, Thm. 8.2.7]. (iii) [11, Thm. 5.1.6, Rem. 5.1.7 and Lemma 5.1.8]. (iv) [33, Thm. 8.4.8] (v) [33, Thm. 8.2.6]. □

Remark 3.4. It follows from (ii) that $\mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$ carries a natural locally compact Hausdorff topology given by pointwise convergence of the associated functionals on the Hecke algebra. By [33, Prop. 9.2.9] the subset $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K) \subset \mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$ is closed, hence inherits a locally compact topology. This topology coincides with the restriction of the weak-$*$-topology on $L^\infty(G)$ to the subset $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K) \subset \mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$, see [11, Sec. 6.4]. In the sequel we will always equip $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$ with this locally compact topology

Let now (V, π_V) be an irreducible spherical representation of (G, K). By characterization (G5) of a Gelfand pair we have $\dim V^K = 1$ and thus there exists a unit vector $v \in V^K$, which is unique up to multiplication by a complex number of norm 1. In particular, the bi-K-invariant matrix coefficient

$$\omega_V : G \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \omega_V(g) := \langle v, \pi_V(g).v \rangle \tag{3.3}$$

depends only on the representation (V, π_V). It turns out that $\omega_V \in \mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$, and that the map $(V, \pi_V) \mapsto \omega_V$ defines a bijection between unitary equivalence classes of irreducible spherical representations and positive definite spherical functions [33, Thm. 8.4.8], whose inverse we denote by $\omega \mapsto [(V_\omega, \pi_{V_\omega})]$.

Let now (W, ρ) be an arbitrary spherical representation of (G, K). Then ρ extends to a representation of the measure algebra $\mathcal{M}(G)$ which we denote by the same letter ρ, and the action of the abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{M}(K\backslash G/K)$ preserves the subspace W^K of K-invariant vectors. By restriction we also obtain representations of $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$ and $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ on W^K by bounded linear operators. Explicitly the latter representation is given by

$$\rho : \mathcal{H}(G, K) \to \mathcal{B}(W^K), \quad \pi(f).v = \int_G f(g) \cdot (\pi(g).v)\, dm_G(g).$$

Concerning this representation of the Hecke algebra, we have the following well-known direct integral decomposition.

Lemma 3.5 (Spherical decomposition)**.** *Let (W, ρ) be a spherical representation of (G, K).*

(i) There exists a Radon measure ν_W on $\mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ such that, as $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$-representations,

$$W^K = \int_{\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)}^{\oplus} V_\omega \, d\nu_W(\omega),$$

where V_ω is the irreducible spherical representation corresponding to ω.

(ii) The measure ν_W is unique up to equivalence in the sense of [15, Thm. 7.32]*. In particular, the atoms and the support of ν_W depend only on W.*

(iii) $\omega \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu_W)$ if and only if V_ω is weakly contained in W, and ω is an atom of ν_W if and only if V_ω is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of W.

(iv) The representation W is completely reducible if and only if ν_W is purely atomic. In this case,

$$W^K = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu_W)} W_\omega,$$

with $W_\omega = W[V_\omega]^K$, where $W[V_\omega]$ denotes the V_ω-isotopic component of W. Explicitly,

$$W_\omega = \{w \in W \mid \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(G, K) : \ \rho(\mu).w = \mu(\omega) \cdot w\}.$$

Proof. The lemma is a consequence of the general representation theory of postliminal C^*-algebras as developed e.g. in [12]. To see that this theory applies, one observes that if (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, then G is automatically of type I (see e.g. [9, Thm. 2.2]), and hence its group C^*-algebra is postliminal ([12, Thm. 9.1]). In particular we can write every unitary G-representation (W, ρ) uniquely (up to equivalence) as a direct integral of irreducible unitary representations over the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of G (see e.g. [15, Thm. 7.32], [12, Thm. 8.6.6]). It follows that the $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$-representation (W^K, ρ) decomposes uniquely as a direct integral over the spherical part of the unitary dual, which we can identify with $\mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ by identifying each irreducible spherical representation with the associated spherical function. Then the remaining statements of the lemma follow from [12, Prop. 8.6.8]. □

Definition 3.6. The measure ν_W is called the *spherical spectral measure* and its support

$$\mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}(W) := \mathrm{supp}(\nu_W) \subset \mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$$

is called the *spherical spectrum* of the spherical representation (W, ρ).

3.3. **The spherical Fourier transform of a positive-definite measure**

We can now define our main object of interest.

Definition 3.7. The *spherical Fourier transform* of the Gelfand pair (G, K) is defined as the composition

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(K\backslash G/K) \to C_0(\mathcal{S}_b(G,K)) \to C_0(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)), \quad f \mapsto \widehat{f},$$

where the map $L^1(K\backslash G/K) \to C_0(\mathcal{S}_b(G,K))$ is the Gelfand transform of the Banach algebra $L^1(K\backslash G/K)$ and the second map is given by restriction to the subspace $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K) \subset \mathcal{S}_b(G,K)$.

Note that by definition,

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) = m_\omega(f) = \int_G f(x)\omega(x^{-1})\, dm_G(x) \quad (f \in L^1(K\backslash G/K), \omega \in \mathcal{S}^+(G,K))). \tag{3.4}$$

As in the abelian case, we have the usual Plancherel and inversion formulas.

Lemma 3.8 (Plancherel formula)**.** *There exists a unique positive Radon measure $\mu_{G,K}$ on $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$ with the following properties.*

(i) If $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ and $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K), \mu_{G,K})$ then

$$f(g) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)} \widehat{f}(\omega)\omega(g)\, d\mu_{G,K}(\omega).$$

(ii) If $f \in L^1(K\backslash G/K) \cap L^2(K\backslash G/K)$, then $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K), \mu_{G,K})$ and $\|f\|_2 = \|\widehat{f}\|_2$.
(iii) $\mathcal{F}$ extends to a bijective isometry $L^2(K\backslash G/K) \to L^2(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K), \mu_{G,K})$.

The measure $\mu_{G,K}$ is called the *Plancherel measure* of the Gelfand pair (G, K).

We can extend the spherical Fourier transform to positive definite Radon measures on $K\backslash G/K$. Here a Radon measure η on $K\backslash G/K$ is called *positive definite* if $\eta(f^* * f) \geq 0$ for every $f \in C_c(G,K)$. The following lemma is due to Godement [16] .

Lemma 3.9 (Spherical Fourier transform of positive definite measures)**.** *For every positive definite Radon measure η on $K\backslash G/K$ there exists a unique positive Radon measure $\widehat{\eta}$ on $\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$ such that the following hold.*

(i) If $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$, then $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K), \widehat{\eta})$.
(ii) The image $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}(G,K))$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K), \widehat{\eta})$.
(iii) For all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ we have

$$\eta(f_1^* * f_2) = \langle \widehat{f_1}, \widehat{f_2} \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{S}^+(G,K),\widehat{\eta})} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)} \overline{\widehat{f_1}(\omega)} \cdot \widehat{f_2}(\omega)\, d\widehat{\eta}(\omega).$$

The measure $\widehat{\eta}$ is called the *spherical Fourier transform* of the measure η.

3.4. **Spherical auto-correlation and spherical diffraction**

Let now $P \subset G/K$ be a FLC subset and let ν be a G-invariant probability measure on $Y_P^\times \subset \mathcal{C}(G/K)$. We then refer to the auto-correlation $\eta_\nu \in \mathcal{R}(K\backslash G/K)$ as the *spherical auto-correlation*. By Remark 2.10 the measure η_ν is positive-definite, hence its spherical Fourier transform $\widehat{\eta_\nu}$ is well-defined.

Definition 3.10. The spherical Fourier transform $\widehat{\eta_\nu}$ of the spherical auto-correlation η_ν is called the *spherical diffraction* of ν. If ν is the only G-invariant probability measure on $Y_P^\times$, then $\widehat{\eta}_P := \widehat{\eta_\nu}$ is also called the *spherical diffraction* of P.

Note that by Corollary 2.13 the spherical diffraction of a model set in a commutative space is well-defined. The remainder of this article is devoted to the study of the spherical diffraction of such model sets.

4. The spherical diffraction formula for uniform model sets

Throughout this section (G, K) denotes a Gelfand pair and (G, H, Γ) a uniform cut-and-project scheme (see [6, Def.2.3]). In particular, Γ is a uniform lattice in $G \times H$. We denote by Y the parameter space $Y := Y_\Gamma := (G \times H)/\Gamma$ and by m_Y the $(G \times H)$-invariant probability measure on Y. Moreover, P denotes a uniform regular model set in G/K constructed from (G, H, Γ) using a window $W_0 \subset H$ (see (see [6, Def.2.6])). We are going to show that the spherical diffraction measure $\widehat{\eta}_P$ is pure point and compute an explicit formula for this diffraction in terms of the automorphic spectrum of the underlying uniform lattice.

4.1. **Complete reducibility**

Consider first the unitary G-representation obtained as the restriction of the unitary $(G \times H)$-representation $L^2(Y) = L^2(Y, m_Y)$ to G.

Lemma 4.1. *The unitary G-representation $L^2(Y)$ is completely reducible with countable multiplicities.*

Proof. Since Γ is cocompact in $G \times H$, the $(G \times H)$-representation $L^2(Y)$ is completely reducible with finite multiplicities (see e.g. [33, Thm. 7.2.5]). Since (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, the group G is of type I (see e.g. [9, Thm. 2.2]). Consequently, every irreducible unitary representation $(G \times H)$-representation is of the form $V \boxtimes W$ where V is an irreducible unitary G-representation, W is an irreducible unitary H-representation and $V \boxtimes W$ is isomorphic to the completed tensor product of V and W with $(G \times H)$-action given by $(g, h).(v \otimes w) = gv \otimes hw$ (see e.g. [15, Thm. 7.25]). In this situation, if $(w_i)_{i\in I}$ is a Hilbert space basis of W then, as G-representations,

$$V \boxtimes W|_G \cong \widehat{\bigoplus_{i\in I}} V \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot w_i \cong \widehat{\bigoplus_{i\in I}} V.$$

Note that I is countable, since $L^2(Y)$ and hence W are separable. We deduce that, as G-representations, each $V \boxtimes W$ and thus also $L^2(Y)$ are completely reducible with countable multiplicities. □

Recall from Definition 3.6 the definition of the spherical spectrum $\mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}$ of a spherical representation. For the special case of the representation $L^2(Y)$ we introduce the following notation.

Definition 4.2. The *spherical automorphic spectrum* of Γ is

$$\Omega_\Gamma := \mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}(L^2(Y)) \subset \mathcal{S}^+(G,K).$$

Corollary 4.3. *The spherical automorphic spectrum $\Omega_\Gamma \subset \mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$ is countable, and $L^2(Y)^K$ decomposes as*

$$L^2(Y)^K = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\omega\in\Omega_\Gamma}} L^2(Y)^K_\omega, \tag{4.1}$$

where each of the eigenspaces

$$L^2(Y)^K_\omega = \{g \in L^2(Y)^K \mid \forall f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K):\ f * g = \widehat{f}(\omega)\cdot g\}$$

is of countable dimension. □

4.2. Weighted L^2-spaces

By Struble's theorem [10, Thm. 2.B.4] every lcsc group admits a *right-admissible metric*, i.e. a proper, right-invariant metric which induces the given topology. We now fix admissible metrics d_G and d_H on G and H respectively and define an admissible metric $d = d_{G\times H}$ on $G \times H$ by

$$d((g_1,h_1),(g_2,h_2)) := d_G(g_1,g_2) + d_H(h_1,h_2). \tag{4.2}$$

Then there exists a real number $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} e^{-\alpha d(\gamma,e)/2} < \infty,$$

and we fix such an α once and for all. We then set

$$C := C(d_G,d_H,\Gamma,\alpha) := \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} e^{-\alpha d(\gamma,e)/2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

We also fix left-Haar measures m_G and m_H on G and H and set $m_{G\times H} := m_G \otimes m_H$.

Definition 4.4. Given $M \in \{G, H, G\times H\}$ we define *α-weighted L^2-norms* $\|\cdot\|_{2,\alpha}$ on $C_c(M)$ by

$$\|f\|_{2,\alpha} := \left(\int_M |f(m)|^2 \cdot e^{\alpha\cdot d_M(m,e)}\, dm_M(m)\right)^{1/2}, \quad (f \in C_c(M)).$$

We then define the *α-weighted L^2-space* $L^2_\alpha(M) \subset L^2(M)$ as the closure of $C_c(M)$ in the corresponding $\|\cdot\|_{2,\alpha}$-norm.

Proposition 4.5 (Properties of weighted L^2-norms)**.** *The weighted L^2-spaces and L^2-norms have the following properties:*

(i) If $f \in L^2_\alpha(G)$ and $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$, then $f\otimes r \in L^2_\alpha(G\times H)$ and

$$\|f\otimes r\|_{2,\alpha} = \|f\|_{2,\alpha}\cdot\|r\|_{2,\alpha}.$$

(ii) If $F \in L^2_\alpha(G\times H)$, then $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma F \in L^2(Y)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma F\|_{2,\alpha} \le C\|F\|_{2,\alpha}.$$

In particular, if $f \in L^2_\alpha(G)$ and $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$, then $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f\otimes r) \in L^2(Y)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f\otimes r)\|_2 \le C\|f\|_{2,\alpha}\|r\|_{2,\alpha}.$$

Proof. (i) This is immediate from (4.2). (ii) Let us abbreviate $M := G \times H$. We first note that if $F_1, F_2 \in L^2_\alpha(M)$, then for all $m \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(F_1 * F_2)(m)| &\leq \int_M |F_1(y)|\,|F_2(y^{-1}m)|\,dm_M(y)\\
&= \int_M |F_1(y)|\,e^{\alpha d(y,e)/2}\,|F_2(y^{-1}m)|e^{-\alpha d(e,y^{-1})/2}\,dm_M(y)\\
&\leq \Big(\int_M |F_1(y)|^2\,e^{\alpha d(y,e)}\,dm_M(y)\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_M |F_2(y^{-1}m)|^2\,e^{-\alpha d(e,y^{-1}e)}\,dm_M(y)\Big)^{1/2}\\
&\leq \Big(\int_M |F_1(y)|^2\,e^{\alpha d(y,e)}\,dm_M(y)\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_M |F_2(y^{-1}m)|^2\,e^{-\alpha d(m,y^{-1}m)}\,dm_M(y)\Big)^{1/2}\\
&= \|F_1\|_{2,\alpha}\Big(\int_M |F_2(y)|^2\,e^{\alpha(-d(m,y)+d(m,e)-d(m,e))}\,dm_M(y)\Big)^{1/2}\\
&\leq \|F_1\|_{2,\alpha}\,e^{-\alpha d(m,e)/2}\Big(\int_M |F_2(y)|^2\,e^{\alpha d(e,y)}\,dm_M(y)\Big)^{1/2}\\
&= e^{-\alpha d(m,e)/2}\|F_1\|_{2,\alpha}\|F_2\|_{2,\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $|(F_1 * F_2)(m)| \leq e^{-\alpha d(m,e)/2}\|F_1\|_{2,\alpha}\|F_2\|_{2,\alpha}$. We thus deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_\Gamma F\|^2 &= \int_{M/\Gamma} \big|\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} F(m\gamma)\big|^2\,dm_{M/\Gamma}(m\Gamma)\\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\int_M \overline{F(m)}F(m\gamma)\,dm_M(m)\\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\int_M \overline{F(m^{-1})}F(m^{-1}\gamma)\,dm_M(m)\\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(F^* * F)(\gamma) \leq \Big(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} e^{-\alpha d(\gamma,e)/2}\Big)\,\|F\|^2_{2,\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\|P_\Gamma F\| \leq C \cdot \|F\|_{2,\alpha}$. □

4.3. **The shadow transform**

Recall from (4.1) that $L^2(Y)^K$ admits a spectral decomposition

$$L^2(Y)^K = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\omega\in\Omega_\Gamma}} L^2(Y)^K_\omega.$$

Given $\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma$ we write

$$\pi_\omega : L^2(Y)^K \to L^2(Y)^K_\omega$$

for the orthogonal projection. Let now $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K) \subset L^2_\alpha(G)^{K\times K}$ and $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$. By Proposition 4.5 we then have $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r) \in L^2(Y)$, and since the K action commutes with the H-action on Y we have

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r) \in L^2(Y)^K.$$

We can thus decompose $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)$ as

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r) = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega_\Gamma} \pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)),$$

and in particular we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)\|_2^2 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \|\pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r))\|_2^2. \tag{4.3}$$

It turns out that one can separate the dependencies on f and r on the right hand side of this equation:

Proposition 4.6 (Existence of the shadow transform)**.** *For every $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$ there exists a unique function $\tilde{r} : \Omega_\Gamma \to L^2(Y)$ such that $\tilde{r}(\omega) \in L^2(Y)_\omega$ and*

$$\pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)) = \frac{\overline{\widehat{f(\omega)}} \cdot \tilde{r}(\omega)}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)} \quad \textit{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$$

Proof. Fix $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$ and $\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma$. We need to show that there exists $\tilde{r}(\omega) \in L^2(Y)^K_\omega$ such that

$$\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma) \cdot \langle \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r), \psi \rangle = \overline{\widehat{f}(\omega)} \cdot \langle \tilde{r}(\omega), \psi \rangle \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K) \text{ and } \psi \in L^2(Y)^K_\omega.$$

Fix $\psi \in L^2(Y)^K_\omega$ and let $\widetilde{\psi}$ be the lift of ψ to a right Γ-invariant bounded function class on $G \times H$. We define

$$\phi(g,h) := \int_K \widetilde{\psi}(gk,h)\, dm_K(k),$$

where m_K denotes the Haar probability measure on K. Since ψ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$, $\widetilde{\psi}$ is continuous in the G-variable. We can thus find a Borel representative $\widetilde{\psi}_0$ of the class $\widetilde{\psi}$, which is continuous in the first variable. Using such a representative we then define for every $h \in H$ a function $\phi_h : G \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\phi_h(g) := \int_K \widetilde{\psi}_0(gk,h)\, dm_K(k).$$

The functions ϕ_h depend on this choice of representative, but $\phi(g,h) = \phi_h(g)$ for $m_G \otimes m_H$-almost all pairs (g,h). For every $h \in H$ the function ϕ_h is continuous (by continuity of $\widetilde{\psi}_0$ in the G-variable), bi-K-invariant (by K-invariance of $\widetilde{\psi}_0$) and satisfies $f * \phi_h = \widehat{f}(\omega) \cdot \phi_h$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ (since $\widetilde{\psi}$ and thus also $\widetilde{\psi}_0$ satisfy this property). It then follows from Lemma 3.3.(v), that ϕ_h is a complex multiple of ω. Consequently, there exists a measurable function $c_\psi : H \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi(g,h) = c_\psi(h) \cdot \omega(g).$$

Note that c_ψ is essentially bounded, since $\widetilde{\psi}$ and hence $\phi(g,h)$ are. Now let $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$, so that in particular f is right-K-invariant. Using that $\omega^* = \omega$ (see Lemma 3.3) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma) \cdot \langle \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r), \psi \rangle &= \int_{G\times H} \overline{f(g) \cdot r(h)} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(g,h)\, dm_G(g) dm_H(h) \\
&= \int_{G\times H} \left(\int_K \overline{f(gk^{-1})}\, dm_K(k) \right) \overline{r(h)} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(g,h)\, dm_G(g) dm_H(h) \\
&= \int_{G\times H} \overline{f(g)} \cdot \overline{r(h)} \cdot \left(\int_K \widetilde{\psi}(gk,h)\, dm_K(k) \right) dm_G(g) dm_H(h) \\
&= \int_{G\times H} \overline{f(g)} \cdot \overline{r(h)} \cdot \phi(g,h)\, dm_G(g) dm_H(h) \\
&= \int_{G\times H} \overline{f(g)} \cdot \overline{r(h)} \cdot c_\psi(h) \cdot \omega(g)\, dm_G(g) dm_H(h) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(g)\omega^*(g^{-1})} dm_G(g) \cdot \int_H \overline{r(h)} \cdot c_\psi(h) dm_H(h) \\
&= \overline{\widehat{f}(\omega)} \cdot \langle r, c_\psi \rangle_H .
\end{aligned}$$

By Riesz' representation theorem it remains to show only that the linear functional

$$\lambda_r : L^2(Y)^K_\omega \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi \mapsto \langle r, c_\psi \rangle_H$$

is continuous. This follows from the fact that the linear map

$$\psi \mapsto c_\psi(h) \cdot \omega(g) = \phi(g,h) = \int_K \widetilde{\psi}(gk,h)\, dm_K(k)$$

is bounded for almost every pair (g,h). □

Definition 4.7. We define the *shadow transform* $\mathcal{S} : L^2_\alpha(H) \to \operatorname{Map}(\Omega_\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ by $\mathcal{S}r(\omega) := \|\tilde{r}(\omega)\|_2^2$, where $\tilde{r}$ is as in Theorem 4.6.

Corollary 4.8 (Defining property of the shadow transform)**.** *The shadow transform $\mathcal{S} : L^2_\alpha(H) \to \operatorname{Map}(\Omega_\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ is the unique map with the property that for all $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}r(\omega) \cdot |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2 \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. From (4.3) and Proposition 4.6 we obtain for all $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)\|_2^2 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \|\pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r))\|_2^2 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \frac{\|\overline{\widehat{f}(\omega)} \cdot \tilde{r}(\omega)\|_2^2}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \frac{\mathcal{S}r(\omega) \cdot |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2}{\operatorname{covol}(\Gamma)^2}.$$

Conversely, (4.4) determines each $\mathcal{S}r(\omega)$. □

Corollary 4.9 (Kernel of the shadow transform)**.** *Let $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) r is contained in the kernel of the shadow transform, i.e. $\mathcal{S}r = 0$.
(ii) $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$.

Proof. Both conditions are equvialent to $\widetilde{r} = 0$, where $\widetilde{r}$ is as in Proposition 4.6. □

4.4. **Pure-point spherical diffraction for uniform regular model sets**

We can now give a formula for the spherical diffraction of a uniform regular model set $P \subset G/K$ associated with a uniform cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ). We denote by $W_0 \subset H$ the window of P and by χ_{W_0} the characteristic function of W_0. Note that $\chi_{W_0} \in L^2_\alpha(H)$, and hence the shadow transform $\mathcal{S}\chi_{W_0}$ is defined.

Theorem 4.10 (Spherical diffraction formula)**.** *Let $P \subset G/K$ be a uniform regular model set. Then the spherical diffraction $\widehat{\eta}_P$ is pure point. More precisely, if P can be constructed from the uniform cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ) with window $W_0 \subset H$, then*

$$\widehat{\eta}_P = \frac{1}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}\chi_{W_0}(\omega) \cdot \delta_\omega. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The proof is based on three ingredients: Firstly, if $f \in \mathcal{H}(G/K)$, then by Lemma 3.9.(iii),

$$\widehat{\eta}_P(|\widehat{f}|^2) = \eta_P(f^* * f)$$

Secondly, by Corollary 2.14 for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G/K)$ we have

$$\eta_P(f^* * f) = \|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes \chi_{W_0})\|_2^2.$$

Thirdly, by (4.4) applied to $r = \chi_{W_0}$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes \chi_{W_0})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}\chi_{W_0}(\omega) \cdot |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2.$$

Combining these three ingredients we obtain

$$\widehat{\eta}_P(|\widehat{f}|^2) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}\chi_{W_0}(\omega) \cdot |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}\chi_{W_0}(\omega) \cdot \delta_\omega \right) (|\widehat{f}|^2).$$

Since the functions of the form $f^* * f$ with $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$ span a dense subset of $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ the theorem follows. □

Note that the fact that the diffraction is pure point is a direct consequence of complete reducibility of $L^2(Y)^K$ over $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$. For non-uniform lattices this property fails, and hence non-uniform regular model sets do not have pure point diffraction.

In order to obtain more explicit formulas for the spherical diffraction one has to compute the shadow transform of the window more explicitly. We provide several examples in the next section. In particular, we will see that in the abelian case, Theorem 4.10 reduces to [2, Thm. 9.4], which in its essence goes back to the pioneering work of Meyer [25].

5. Examples of diffraction formulas

5.1. **Abelian examples: Meyer's formula**

Let us briefly explain how the spherical diffraction formula (Theorem 4.10) reduces to Meyer's diffraction formula in the classical case where G and H are abelian and $K = \{e\}$ is the trivial subgroup. Denote by $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{H}$ the dual groups of G and H respectively, and identify the dual group of $G \times H$ with $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$. If we denote by

$$\Gamma^\perp := \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H} \mid \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma : \ \xi_1(\gamma_1)\xi_2(\gamma_2) = 1\} < \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$$

the dual lattice of Γ and by $\pi_{\widehat{G}} : \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H} \to \widehat{G}$ the projection onto the first coordinate, then $\mathcal{S}^+(G, K) = \widehat{G}$ and

$$\Omega_\Gamma = \pi_{\widehat{G}}(\Gamma^\perp) \subset \widehat{G}.$$

Note that this automorphic spectrum is actually in bijection with $\Gamma^\perp$ by the following standard result (see [27, p.19]).

Lemma 5.1. *If Γ projects injectively to G and densely to H, then $\Gamma^\perp$ projects injectively to $\widehat{G}$ and densely to $\widehat{H}$.*

Proof. If $\chi \in \Gamma^\perp$ is contained in the kernel of the projection to $\widehat{G}$, i.e. $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$, then χ_2 is trivial on the projection of Γ to H, hence on all of H by continuity, and thus $\chi = 1$. Moreover, since Γ projects injectively to G, the map $H \to (G \times H)/\Gamma$ is injective, and hence the dual map $\Gamma^\perp \to H^\perp$ has dense image. □

In particular, given $\chi_1 \in \pi_{\widehat{G}}(\Gamma^\perp)$ there is a unique $\chi_1^{(2)} \in \widehat{H}$ such that $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_1^{(2)} \in \Gamma^\perp$. Now we choose Haar measures m_G on G and m_H on H and denote by $\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)$ the covolume of Γ with respect to $m_G \otimes m_H$. Given $f \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ we denote by

$$\widehat{f}(\chi_1) := \int_G f(g)\chi_1(g^{-1})dm_G(g)$$

the Fourier transform of f with respect to m_G, and we denote by the same symbol the Fourier transform with respect to m_H. For $f \in C_c(G)$ and $r \in C_c(H)$ the Poisson summation formula yields

$$\|P_\Gamma(f \otimes r))\|^2 = \sum_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\in\Gamma} (f^* * f)(\gamma_1)(r^* * r)(\gamma_2) = \sum_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\Gamma^\perp} |\hat{f}(\xi_1)|^2|\hat{r}(\xi_2)|^2,$$

hence we deduce from Corollary 4.8 that for $r \in C_c(H)$ and $\chi_1 \in \Omega_\Gamma$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}r(\chi_1) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot |\hat{r}(\chi_1^{(2)})|^2.$$

By a density argument, the same formula holds for all $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$. In particular, the diffraction formula (4.5) specializes to

$$\widehat{\eta}_P = \sum_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\Gamma^\perp} |\hat{\chi}_{W_0}(\xi_2)|^2 \cdot \delta_{\xi_1}, \tag{5.1}$$

which is Meyer's formula.

Even more concretely, if we assume that $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = \mathbb{R}^m$ the we can identify $\widehat{G} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, $\widehat{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ via $e^{2\pi i\lambda} \leftrightarrow \lambda$. Under this identification,

$$\Gamma^\perp = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \mid (\xi_1^\top, \xi_2^\top) \cdot \Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and in (5.1) the Fourier transform $\hat{\chi}_{W_0}$ is just the classical Fourier transform.

5.2. **General structure of model sets in amenable Lie groups**

Before we compute further examples, we discuss the general structure of model sets in commutative spaces associated with amenable Lie groups. There are plenty of examples of cut-and-project schemes (G, H, Γ) with G amenable, but requiring in addition that G is part of Gelfand pair (G, K) with K compact is a rather restrictive condition. For example, in the case of connected Lie groups we have the following:

Proposition 5.2 (Cut-and-project schemes of amenable Lie groups)**.** *Let (G, H, Γ) be a cut-and-project scheme, where G and H are Lie groups and G is amenable and part of a Gelfand pair (G, K) with K compact, G/K simply-connected and G acting effectively on G/K. Then the following hold:*

(i) $G = N \rtimes L$, where L is compact and contains K, and N is either abelian or 2-step nilpotent.
(ii) If $\pi_G(\Gamma)$ is contained in N, then H is either abelian or 2-step nilpotent and Γ is uniform.

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequnce of Vinberg's decomposition theorem [33, Thm. 13.3.20]. If $\pi_G(\Gamma) < N$, then $\pi_G(\Gamma)$ and thus Γ are 2-step nilpotent. But then also H is 2-step nilpotent since it contains the dense 2-step nilpotent subgroup $\pi_H(\Gamma)$, and thus Γ is cocompact by [29, Thm. 2.1]. □

In the situation of Proposition 5.2 the possible pairs (G, L) appearing in (i) can actually be classified; these are called *nilmanifold pairs* (see [33, Sec. 13.4]). If we assume additionally that $\pi_G(\Gamma) < N$, then we obtain a full classification of all possible triples (G, H, Γ). Namely, H has to be a 2-step nilpotent Lie group, and these are well-known. Then Γ has to be a lattice in the nilpotent Lie group $N \times H$, and hence arises from a rational basis of the Lie algebra of $N \times H$ by the construction described in [29, Remark after Thm. 2.12].

If we drop the condition that $\pi_G(\Gamma) < N$ then we can no longer classify the corresponding cut-and-project sets. Note that if we drop the assumption that G and H are connected, then we can no longer even guarantee that Γ is uniform. In fact, there exists a cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ) and a compact subgroup $K < G$ such that G and H are compact-by-abelian (in particular amenable), (G, K) is a Gelfand pair and Γ is non-uniform, see [6, p. 8] which is based on [4, Example 3.5] due to Bader, Caprace, Gelander and Monod. This shows that we are very far from classifying the possible cut-and-project sets in a commutative space G/K with G a general lcsc amenable group.

For now, let us focus on the cut-and-project sets described in Proposition 5.2. In fact, we will consider the special case where $K = L$ and $\pi_G(\Gamma)$ is contained in N. This means that Γ is of the form

$$\Gamma = \big\{((\gamma_1, e), \gamma_2) \in (N \rtimes K) \times H \,:\, (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma_o\big\},$$

where $\Gamma_o < N \times H$ is a lattice in $N \times H$, and N and H are either both abelian or both 2-step nilpotent. Moreover, we can identify a bi-K-invariant function f on G with the corresponding K-invariant functions f_o on N given by $f(n, k) = f_o(n)$. In particular, we obtain an identification

$$\mathcal{H}(G, K) \to C_c(N)^K, \quad f \mapsto f_o.$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$ and $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)\|^2 = \sum_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\in\Gamma_o} (f_o^* * f_o)(\gamma_1)(r^* * r)(\gamma_2). \tag{5.2}$$

Starting from this formula one can now compute the shadow transform of the cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ). The details of this computation depend very much on whether N and H are abelian or 2-step nilpotent, the abelian case being much easier.

5.3. **Virtually abelian examples**

Keeping the notation of the previous subsection, we now turn to the case where N and H are abelian. In this case, the shadow transform can again be computed using the Poisson

summation formula, starting from (5.2). More precisely, denoting by $\Gamma_o^\perp$ the dual lattice of Γ_o in $\widehat{N} \times \widehat{H}$ as in the abelian case, the Poisson summation formula yields

$$\sum_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\in\Gamma_o} (f_o^* * f_o)(\gamma_1)(r^* * r)(\gamma_2) = \sum_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\Gamma_o^\perp} |\hat{f}_o(\xi_1)|^2 |\hat{r}(\xi_2)|^2.$$

Given a character $\xi_1 \in \widehat{N}$ we denote by $[\xi_1]$ the positive-definite spherical function $[\xi_1] : G \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$[\xi_1](n,k) := \int_K \xi_1(k'(n)) dm_K(k').$$

We then have an identification

$$K\backslash\widehat{N} \to \mathcal{S}^+(G,K), \quad K\xi_1 \to [\xi_1].$$

In the case where $N \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, the functions $[\xi_1]$ are just normalized Bessel functions, hence we refer to the functions $[\xi_1]$ as *Bessel type functions* in general. The automorphic spectrum of Γ is then given by

$$\Omega_\Gamma = \{[\xi_1] \mid (\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \Gamma^\perp\}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 for every $\xi_1 \in \pi_{\widehat{N}}(\Gamma^\perp)$ there is a unique ξ_2 with $(\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \Gamma^\perp$. Given $K\xi_1 \in \Omega_\Gamma$ let us denote by $(K\xi_1)^{(2)}$ the set of all ξ_2 such that $(k.\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \Gamma^\perp$ for some $k \in K$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}r(K.\xi_1) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \sum_{\xi_2\in(K\xi_1)^{(2)}} |\widehat{r}(\xi_2)|^2.$$

Thus the diffraction formula (4.5) specializes to

$$\widehat{\eta}_P = \sum_{[\xi_1]\in\Omega_\Gamma} \left(\sum_{\xi_2\in(K\xi_1)^{(2)}} |\widehat{\chi}_{W_0}(\xi_2)|^2 \right) \delta_{[\xi_1]},$$

which can be seen as a version of the "spherical Poisson summation formula" [1] for regular model sets.

5.4. **Virtually nilpotent examples**

We now turn to the case of virtually nilpotent Lie groups. We will make a number of simplifying assumptions. More precisely, our setting and notation will be as follows:

Convention 5.3. Throughout this subsection we will work in the following setting:

(1) Q_1, Q_2, Z_1, Z_2 are abelian lcsc groups, written additively.
(2) $\beta_i : Q_i^2 \to Z_i$ are skew-symmetric bi-homomorphisms, which give rise to 2-step nilpotent lcsc groups $N_i := Q_i \oplus_{\beta_i} Z_i$.
(3) K is a compact group acting by automorphisms on Q_1 and leaving β_1 invariant; thus K acts on N_1, fixing the center.
(4) Set $G := N_1 \rtimes K$, $H := N_2$, and $N := N_1 \times N_2$, $Q := Q_1 \times Q_2$, $Z := Z_1 \times Z_2$. Then $G \times H = N \rtimes K$ and $N = Q \oplus_\beta Z$, where $\beta : Q^2 \to Z$ is given by $\beta((p_1,p_2),(q_1,q_2)) := \beta_1(p_1,q_1) + \beta_2(p_2,q_2)$.
(5) $\Delta < Q$, $\Xi < Z$ are lattices which project injectively to the respective first factor and densely onto both factors, and $\beta(\Delta,\Delta) \subset \Xi$. Consequently, $\Gamma_N := \Delta \oplus_\beta \Xi$ is a lattice in N with the same properties, and if we set

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_N \rtimes \{e\} < N \rtimes K = G \times H,$$

then (G,H,Γ) is a uniform cut-and-project scheme. As before we set $Y := (G \times H)/\Gamma$.

(6) Finally, we assume that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair.
(7) We will also assume an *exponential decay condition* (EDC) on positive-definite spherical functions of non-trivial central character, which we define in Definition 5.7 below.

Example. The conditions of Convention 5.3 are satisfied for example in the case where $N_1 = N_2$, and N_1 is the real Heisenberg groups, K is the maximal compact subgroup of the outer automorphism group of N_1 and Γ_N is the group of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$-points of the Heisenberg group, embedded into $N_1 \times N_2$ by the two different real embeddings of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$.

A crucial consequence of our assumptions is that since K preserves β_1, the subgroup Z is central in $G \times H$, and Z_1 is central in G. The latter observation implies that positive definite spherical functions of (G, K) which are trivial on Z_1 correspond to spherical functions of the virtually abelian Gelfand pair $(Q_1 \rtimes K, K)$, which can be described as in the previous subsection. More precisely, every character $\eta_1 \in \widehat{Q_1}$ gives rise to a positive definite spherical function $[\eta_1]$ on G given by

$$[\eta_1](q_1, z_1, k) := \int_K \eta_1(k'(q_1)) dm_K(k'),$$

which depends only on the K-orbit $K\eta_1$ of η_1 and vanishes on the center Z_1 of G, hence descends to a positive definite spherical function on $Q_1 \rtimes K$. As in the virtually abelian case, we refer to these positive-definite functions as *Bessel type functions*.

As in the abelian case we denote by $\Delta^\perp \subset \widehat{Q}$ and $\Xi^\perp \subset \widehat{Z}$ the dual lattice of Δ and Ξ respectively. From assumption (5) and Lemma 5.1 we deduce:

Lemma 5.4. *$\Delta^\perp$ projects injectively to $\widehat{Q_1}$ and densely to $\widehat{Q_2}$. Similarly, $\Xi^\perp$ projects injectively to $\widehat{Z_1}$ and densely to $\widehat{Z_2}$.* □

To describe the spectral decomposition of $L^2(Y)^K$ explicitly, we introduce the following notations.

Definition 5.5. (i) Given $\chi \in \widehat{Z}$ we denote by $L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi)$ the space of measurable functions $h : Q \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$h(q_1, q_2) = h(q_1 + \delta_1, q_2 + \delta_2)\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1, \delta_1))\chi_2(\beta_2(q_2, \delta_2)) \quad \text{for all } (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \Delta \tag{5.3}$$

and $|h| \in L^2(Q/\Delta)$.

(ii) Given $\chi_1 \in \widehat{Z_1}$ we denote by $\Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$ the space of all (K, χ_1)-*spherical functions*, i.e. all continuous functions $\omega_o : Q_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\omega_o(0) = 1$ and

$$\int_K \omega_o(x + k(y))\chi_1(\beta_1(x, k(y)))dm_K(k) = \omega_o(x)\omega_o(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in Q_1, \tag{5.4}$$

and for all $f \in C_c(N_1)$,

$$\int_{N_1}\int_{N_1} \omega_o(q_2 - q_1)\chi_1(-\beta_1(q_1, q_1))f(q_1, z_1)\overline{f(q_2, z_2)}\, dm_{N_1}(q_1, z_1)dm_{N_1}(q_2, z_2) \geq 0. \tag{5.5}$$

(iii) Given $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp$ and $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$ we denote by $L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi, \omega_o)$ the space of all $h \in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi)$ which satisfy

$$\int_K \chi_1(\beta_1(x_1, k(q_1)))h(x_1 - k(q_1), x_2)dm_K(k) = \omega_o(-q_1) \cdot h(x_1, x_2).$$

We will also identify characters of Q_1 with homomorphisms $N_1 \to S^1$ vanishing on the center.

Proposition 5.6. *The spectral decomposition of $L^2(Y)^K$ is given as follows.*

(i) Every $\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma$ is either a Bessel type function of the form $\omega = [\eta_1]$ for some $\eta = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \Delta^\perp$ or of the form $\omega(q_1, z_1, k) = \omega_o(q_1)\chi_1(z_1)$ for some $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp \setminus \{1\}$ and $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$.

(ii) If $\omega = [\eta_1]$ for some $\eta = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \Delta^\perp$, then $L^2(Y)^K_\omega = i_Y(\bigoplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \eta')$, where the sum is take over all $\eta = \eta_1' \otimes \eta_2' \in \Delta^\perp$ with $\eta_1' \in K\eta_1$.

(iii) If $\omega(q_1, z_1, k) = \omega_o(q_1)\chi_1(z_1)$ for some $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp \setminus \{1\}$ and $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$, then there is an isomorphism

$$j_\chi : L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi, \omega_o) \to L^2(Y)^K_\omega, \quad h \mapsto F \tag{5.6}$$

given by

$$F((q_1, z_1, k), (q_2, z_2)) = h(k^{-1}(q_1), q_2)\chi_1(z_1)\chi_2(z_2).$$

We postpone the proof of Proposition 5.6 to the following subsection. From the proposition one obtains immediately that

$$\Omega_\Gamma \subseteq \{[\eta_1] \mid \exists \eta = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \Delta^\perp\} \cup \bigcup_{\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp \setminus \{1\}} \{\omega_o \otimes \chi_1 \mid \omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)\} \tag{5.7}$$

In general, the inclusion on (5.7) may be proper, since for ω as in (iii) it may happen that $L^2(Y)^K_\omega = \{0\}$. We will later provide a sufficient condition (EDC) which guarantees that each of these spaces is infinite-dimensional, and hence that equality holds in (5.7). This condition will be satisfied in the Heisenberg example above.

Let now $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$ and $r \in L^2_\alpha(H)$, where α is chosen as in Subsection 4.2 and let $F := \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)$. By Proposition 4.5 we have $F \in L^2(Y)^K$, and the latter space decomposes as

$$L^2(Y)^K = \widehat{\bigoplus}_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} L^2(Y)^K_\omega, \tag{5.8}$$

where Ω_Γ is given by (5.7) above. If for every $\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma$ we denote by F_ω the projection of F to $L^2(Y)^K_\omega$, then by (4.4)

$$\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \|F_\omega\|^2 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \mathcal{S}r(\omega) \cdot |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2,$$

and thus, since the Fourier transforms of functions in $H(G, K)$ separate points in Ω_Γ, we conclude that for every $\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma$ we have

$$|\hat{f}(\omega)|^2 \cdot \mathcal{S}r(\omega) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot \|F_\omega\|^2. \tag{5.9}$$

Thus in order to compute the shadow transform, we have to compute the norms $\|F_\omega\|^2$. If $\omega = [\eta_1]$ for some $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \Xi^\perp$, then we argue exactly as in the virtually abelian case. Thus if we denote by $(K\eta_1)^{(2)}$ the set of all η_2 such that $(k.\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi^\perp$ for some $k \in K$, then

$$\mathcal{S}r([\eta_1]) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot \sum_{\eta_2 \in (K\eta_1)^{(2)}} |\widehat{r_1}(\eta_2)|^2 \quad (r \in L^2_\alpha(H)),$$

where $r_1(\cdot) = \int_{Z_2} r(\cdot, z_2)\, dm_{Z_2}(z_2)$, and the Fourier transform is taken with respect to the Q_2-variable.

It remains to compute the shadow transform $\mathcal{S}r(\omega)$ for ω of the form

$$\omega(q_1, z_1, k) = \omega_o(q_1)\chi_1(z_1)$$

for some $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp \setminus \{1\}$ and $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$. We fix such an ω once and for all and observe that, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} F((q_1,z_1,k),(q_2,z_2)) &= P_\Gamma(f\otimes r)((q_1,z_1,k_1),(q_2,z_2) \\ &= P_{\Gamma_N}(f\otimes r)((k^{-1}(q_1),z_1),(q_2,z_2)) \\ &= \sum_{(\delta_1,\delta_2)\in\Delta}\sum_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\Xi} f(k^{-1}(q_1)+\delta_1, z_1+\xi_1+\omega_1(k^{-1}(q_1),\delta_1)) \\ &\quad \cdot r(q_2+\delta_2, z_2+\xi_2+\omega_2(q_2,\delta_2)). \end{aligned}$$

In order to compute the norm of the projection F_ω for ω as above we need to construct a dense subspace of $L^2(Y)^K_\omega \cong L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$. We would like to construct such a dense subspace by suitable periodizations, but for these periodizations to converge we need to assume sufficient decay of certain spherical functions.

Definition 5.7. The Gelfand pair (G,K) satsfies the *exponential decay condition* (EDC) provided for every $\chi_1 \in \widehat{Z_1} \setminus \{1\}$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1) \subset L^2_\alpha(Q_1)$.

Remark 5.8. Let us briefly discuss why the condition EDC holds for Gelfand pairs associated to real Heisenberg groups. For simplicity, let us assume that

$$Q_1 = \mathbb{C}^p \cong \mathbb{R}^{2p} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_1 = \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1(z,w) = \mathrm{Im}(\langle z,w\rangle),$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^p$, and $K = U(p)$. By [33, Proposition 13.2.2], for every non-trivial central character χ_1 on Z_1 there exists a real *polynomial* L and a positive constant c such that each (K,χ_1)-spherical function on Q_1 satisfies

$$|\omega_o(q_1)| \leq L(\|q_1\|^2)e^{-c\|q_1\|^2}, \quad \text{for all } (q_1,t_1) \in N_1,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Hermitian norm on $\mathbb{C}^p$. In particular, ω_o belongs to $L^2_\alpha(Q_1)$ for every $\alpha > 0$.

From now on we assume that (G,K) satisfies (EDC).

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\chi \in \Xi^\perp \setminus \{1\}$ and $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$.*

(i) There is a well defined map $P_{\omega_o} : C_c(Q_2) \to L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$ which sends $\psi \in C_c(Q_2)$ to the function

$$h_\psi(q_1,q_2) := \sum_{(\delta_1,\delta_2)\in\Delta} \omega_o(q_1+\delta_1)\psi(q_2+\delta_2)\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1,\delta_1))\chi_2(\beta_2(q_2,\delta_2))$$

(ii) The map P_{ω_o} from (i) has dense image.

Proof. (i) Note that by Lemma 5.4 the assumption $\chi \not\equiv 1$ implies $\chi_1 \not\equiv 1$ and thus $\omega_o \in L^2_\alpha(Q_1)$ by EDC. If $\psi \in C_c(Q_2)$ then $\psi \in L^2_\alpha(Q_2)$, and thus $|h_\psi| \in L^2(Q/\Delta)$ by Proposition 4.5. By construction,

$$h_\psi(q_1,q_2) = h_\psi(q_1+\delta_1, q_2+\delta_2)\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1,\delta_1))\chi_2(\beta_2(q_2,\delta_2))$$

and thus $h_\psi \in L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi)$. It is straight-forward to check that actually $h_\psi \in L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$.

(ii) Suppose that the image of P_{ω_o} is not dense. Then, by Hahn-Banach's Theorem, there exists some non-zero $h \in L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$ such that

$$\langle h, h_\psi\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_c(Q_2),$$

which translates to

$$\int_Q \overline{h(q_1,q_2)}\omega_o(q_1)\psi(q_2)\,dm_Q(q_1,q_2)=0,\quad \text{for all } \psi\in C_c(Q_2).$$

We conclude that there exists a conull subset $Q_2'\subset Q_2$ such that

$$\int_{Q_1} \overline{h(q_1,q_2)}\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)=0,\quad \text{for all } q_2\in Q_2'.$$

We want to show that this leads to a contradiction. Firstly, since Δ is countable, we may without loss of generality assume that Q_2' is invariant under the projection of Δ to Q_2. In particular, since $h\in L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$, we see that for all $q_2\in Q_2'$ and $(\delta_1,\delta_2)\in\Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_{Q_1}\overline{h(q_1,q_2+\delta_2)}\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)\\ &= \int_{Q_1}\overline{h(q_1-\delta_1+\delta_1,q_2+\delta_2)}\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)\\ &= \int_{Q_1}\overline{h(q_1-\delta_1,q_2)}\chi(\beta((q_1,q_2),(\delta_1,\delta_2)))\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1),\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\int_{Q_1}\overline{h(q_1-\delta_1,q_2)}\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1,\delta_1))\,\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)=0.$$

We note that the function

$$\tau_h(t)=\int_{Q_1}\overline{h(q_1-t,q_2)}\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1,t))\,\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)$$

is continuous on Q_1, and satisfies $\tau_h(\delta_1)=0$ for all $(\delta_1,\delta_2)\in\Delta$. By assumption the set all possible δ_1 is dense in Q_1, and thus

$$\int_{Q_1}\overline{h(q_1-t,q_2)}\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1,t))\,\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)=0,\quad \text{for all } t\in Q_1.$$

In particular, since ω_o is K-invariant and $\beta_1(s,t)=-\beta_1(-t,-s)$ for all $s,t\in Q_1$, this implies that

$$\int_{Q_1}\overline{\Big(\int_K h(-t-k(-q_1),q_2)\chi_1(\beta_1(-t,-k(q_1))\,dm_K(k)\Big)}\omega_o(q_1)\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)=0$$

for all $t\in Q_1$. Since $h\in L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$, the inner integral equals $h(-t,q_2)\omega(q_1)$ for all t, and thus

$$\overline{h(-t,q_2)}\int_{Q_1}|\omega_o(q_1)|^2\,dm_{Q_1}(q_1)=0,\quad \text{for all } (t,q_2)\in Q_1\times Q_2'.$$

We conclude that $h=0$ in $L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)$, and this contradiction finishes the proof. □

If we denote by $j_\chi: L^2(Q,\Delta,\chi,\omega_o)\to L^2(Y)^K_\omega$ the isomorphism from (5.6), then we deduce that the subset

$$\{j_\chi(h_\psi)\in L^2(Y)^K_\omega \mid \psi\in C_c(Q_2)\}\subset L^2(Y)^K_\omega$$

is dense. In particular, for $F=P_\Gamma(f\otimes r)$ as above we have

$$\|F_\omega\|=\sup\big\{\langle F,j_\chi(h_\psi)\rangle \mid \|j_\chi(h_\psi)\|=1,\ \ \psi\in C_c(Q_2)\big\}. \tag{5.10}$$

To compute the inner products involved in this expression we introduce the following notations. Given a function $h \in C_c(N_2)$ we define

$$h_{\chi_2}(q_2) = \int_{Z_2} h(q_2, z_2)\chi_2(-z_2)\, dm_{Z_2}(z_2),$$

and given two functions $h_1, h_2 \in C_c(Q_2)$ we define their χ_2-*twisted convolution* by

$$(h_1 *_{\chi_2} h_2)(x) = \int_{Q_2} h_1(x-y)h_2(y)\chi_2(\beta_2(y,x))\, dm_{Q_2}(y) \quad (x \in Q_2).$$

Then we have:

Lemma 5.10. *Let $F = P_\Gamma(f \otimes r)$ as above. Then for all $\psi \in C_c(Q_2)$ we have*

$$\langle F, j_\chi(h_\psi)\rangle = \widehat{f}(\omega) \sum_{(\delta_1,\delta_2)} \omega_o(\delta_1)(\psi^* *_{\chi_2} r_{\chi_2})(\delta_2)$$

and

$$\|j_\chi(h_\psi)\|^2 = \|\omega_o\|^2_{L^2(Q_1)} \sum_{(\delta_1,\delta_2)} \omega_o(\delta_1)(\psi^* *_{\chi_2} \psi_{\chi_2})(\delta_2).$$

Proof. We prove the first formula, the proof of the second formula being similar. One checks that $\omega_o(-q) = \overline{\omega_o(q)}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F, j_\chi(h_\psi)\rangle \;=\; & \sum_{(\delta_1,\delta_2)\in\Delta} \int_Q \int_Z f(q_1,z_1)r(q_2,z_2)\omega_o(-q_1-\delta_1)\cdot \\ & \overline{\psi(q_2+\delta_2)\chi((z_1,z_2)+\beta((q_1,q_2),(\delta_1,\delta_2))}\, dm_Q(q_1,q_2)\, dm_Z(z_1,z_2).\end{aligned}$$

Note that since f is assumed K-invariant and β_1 is skew-invariant we have by (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_1} f(q_1,z_1)\omega_o(-q_1)\overline{\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1,\delta_1))}\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) \\ = \; & \int_{Q_1} f(q_1,z_1)\Big(\int_K \omega_o(k(-q_1)-\delta_1)\overline{\chi_1(\beta_1(k(q_1),\delta_1))}\, dm_K(k)\Big)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) \\ = \; & \int_{Q_1} f(q_1,z_1)\Big(\int_K \omega_o(k(q_1)-\delta_1)\overline{\chi_1(\beta_1(\delta_1,k(q_1)))}\, dm_K(k)\Big)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) \\ = \; & \int_{Q_1} f(q_1,z_1)\omega_o(-\delta_1)\omega_o(q_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) = \int_{Q_1}\int_{Z_1} f(q_1,z_1)\omega_o(-q_1)\chi_1(-z_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1)dm_{Z_1}(z_1).$$

we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F, j_\chi(h_\psi)\rangle \;=\; & \widehat{f}(\omega) \sum_{(\delta_1,\delta_2)} \phi_o(-\delta_1)\cdot \\ & \cdot \int_{Z_2}\int_{Q_2} r(q_2,z_2)\overline{\psi(q_2+\delta_2)\chi_2(\beta_2(q_2,\delta_2))}\, \chi_2(-z_2)\, dm_{Q_2}(q_2)\, dm_{Z_2}(z_2)\end{aligned}$$

The double integral can be rewritten as

$$\int_{Q_2} \psi^*(-\delta_2-q_2)r_{\chi_2}(q_2)\chi_2(\beta_2(q_2,\delta_2))\, dm_{Q_2}(q_2) = (\psi^* *_{\chi_2} r_{\chi_2})(\delta_2),$$

and thus the formula follows. □

From (5.9) and (5.10) we now deduce that

$$\mathcal{S}r(\omega) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot \sup_{\psi} \Big| \sum_{\Delta} \omega_o(\delta_1)(\psi^* *_{\chi_2} r_{\chi_2})(\delta_2) \Big|^2,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\psi \in C_c(Q_2)$ such that

$$\sum_{\Delta} \omega_o(\delta_1)(\psi^* *_{\chi_2} \psi_{\chi_2})(\delta_2) = 1/\|\omega_o\|^2_{L^2(Q_1)}.$$

This finishes our computation of the coefficients in the spherical diffraction formula for model sets associated with the cut-and-project set (G, H, Γ). Specializing to the case of real Heisenberg groups we obtain Theorem 1.6.

5.5. **Proof of the spectral decomposition in the virtually nilpotent case**

This subsection is devoted to the proof of the spectral decomposition of $L^2(Y)^K$ as stated in Proposition 5.6. We first observe that as an N-module we can identify $L^2(Y)^K$ with $L^2(N/\Gamma_N)$ via the isomorphism

$$i_Y : L^2(N/\Gamma_N) \to L^2(N \rtimes K/(\Gamma_N \rtimes \{e\}))^K = L^2(Y)^K, \quad \widetilde{F} \mapsto F, \tag{5.11}$$

given by $F(q, z, k) := \widetilde{F}(k^{-1}(q), z)$. In particular, this isomorphism is Z-equivariant.

Given $\chi \in \widehat{Z}$ we denote by $L^2(Y)^K_\chi$ the subspace of central character χ. Since the action of $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ on $L^2(Y)^K$ is completely reducible and commutes with the action of Z, the space $L^2(Y)^K$ decomposes as a direct sum over the $L^2(Y)^K_\chi$, which we can identify by i_Y with the subspace $L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi \subset L^2(N/\Gamma_N)$ of the same central character. If $\chi \notin \Xi^\perp$, then clearly $L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi = \{0\}$ and thus

$$L^2(Y)^K = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Xi^\perp} L^2(Y)^K_\chi = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Xi^\perp} i_Y(L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi).$$

Lemma 5.11. *For every $\chi \in \widehat{Z}$ there is an isomorphism*

$$i_\chi : L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi) \to L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi, \tag{5.12}$$

which sends $h \in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi)$ to the function f given by

$$f((q_1, z_1), (q_2, z_2)) = h(q_1, q_2)\chi_1(z_1)\chi_2(z_2). \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. By definition $f \in L^2(N/\Gamma_N)$ is contained in $L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi$ iff it satisfies the identity

$$f((q_1, z_1 - w_1), (q_2, z_2 - w_2)) = \chi_1(w_1)\chi_2(w_2) f((q_1, z_1), (q_2, z_2)).$$

for almost all $q_1 \in Q_1, q_2 \in Q_2, w_1, z_1 \in Z_1, w_2, z_2 \in Z_2$, which implies that the product $\chi_1(z_1)^{-1}\chi_2(z_2)^{-1} f((q_1, z_1), (q_2, z_2))$ is almost surely independent of z_1 and z_2, i.e.

$$f((q_1, z_1), (q_2, z_2)) = h(q_1, q_2)\chi_1(z_1)\chi_2(z_2)$$

Right-Γ_N-invariance of f amounts to the condition

$$h(q_1, q_2) = h(q_1 + \delta_1, q_2 + \delta_2)\chi_1(\beta_1(q_1, \delta_1))\chi_2(\beta_2(q_2, \delta_2)) \quad ((\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \Delta)$$

or h. In particular, $|h|$ descends to Q/Δ. Moreover, square-integrability of f implies that $|h| \in L^2(Q/\Delta)$, hence $h \in\in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi)$. Conversely, if $h \in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi)$, then the function f given by (5.13) is contained in $L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi$. □

For the trivial character $\chi \equiv 1$, Lemma 5.11 specializes to the statement that

$$L^2(Q, \Delta, 1) = L^2(Q/\Delta).$$

The decomposition of the latter is now exactly as in the virtually abelian case (Subsection 5.3), and this establishes Part (ii) of Proposition 5.6.

Now assume that $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \in \Xi^\perp \setminus \{1\}$. By Lemma 5.4 we then have $\chi_1 \neq 1$, hence Part (i) of Proposition 5.6 follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 5.12. *A function $\omega : G = (Q_1 \oplus_{\beta_1} Z_1) \rtimes K$ is a positive-definite spherical function if and only if is of the form $\omega(x, z, k) = \omega_o(x)\chi_1(z)$ for some character $\chi_1 \in \widehat{Z_1}$ and some $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$*

Proof. Recall that every positive-definite spherical function ω is the matrix coefficient of an irreducible spherical representation (V_ω, π_ω), i.e.

$$\omega(g) = \langle v, \pi_\omega(g)v\rangle$$

for a unit vector $v \in V_\omega^K$. Denote by $\chi_1 \in \widehat{Z}$ the central character of π_ω, i.e. the restriction of π to the center Z_1, and denote by ω_o the restriction of ω to Q_1. Since v is K-invariant we obtain

$$\omega(q, z, k) = \langle v, \pi_\omega(q)\pi_\omega(z)\pi_\omega(k)v\rangle = \langle v, \pi_\omega(q)\chi_1(z)v\rangle = \langle v, \pi_\omega(q)v\rangle\chi_1(z) = \omega_o(q)\chi_1(z).$$

Thus every $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ is of the form $\omega(x, z, k) = \omega_o(x)\chi_1(z)$ for some character $\chi_1 \in \widehat{Z_1}$ and a continuous function $\omega_o : Q_1 \to \mathbb{C}$. Recall from Proposition 3.3 that $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ if and only if ω is bi-K-invariant and satisfies $\omega(e) = \chi_1(e) = 1$, (3.2) and (3.1). The latter three conditions for ω are equivalent to $\omega_o = e$, (5.4) and (5.5) for ω_o respectively. Finally, bi-K-invariance of ω amounts to K-invariance of ω_o. The latter, however, follows automatically from (5.4) by choosing $x = 0$. The lemma follows. □

Only Part (iii) of Proposition 5.6 remains to show. Let us denote by

$$j_\chi : L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi) \to L^2(Y)^K_\chi$$

the isomorphism arising as the composition of the isomorphisms $i_\chi : L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi) \to L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi$ from (5.12) and $i_Y : L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi \to L^2(Y)^K_\chi$ from (5.11).

Proposition 5.13. *Given $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$, the weights appearing in the decomposition of $L^2(Y^K)_\chi$ are of the form $\omega(x, z, k) = \omega_o(x)\chi_1(z)$ for some $\omega_o \in \Omega_{K,\chi_1}(Q_1)$. If ω is of this form, then*

$$j_\chi^{-1}(L^2(Y)^K_\omega) = L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi, \omega_o).$$

Proof. Let $h \in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi)$ and let $f \in L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi$ be the image of h under the isomorphism i_χ from (5.12). Assume that $f \in L^2(N/\Gamma_N)_\chi$ is an eigenfunction of the Hecke algebra, i.e. there exists $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ such that for all $\rho \in C_c(N_1)^K$,

$$\int_{N_1} \rho(n_1)f(n_1^{-1}m_1, m_2)dm_{N_1}(n_1) = m_\omega(\rho)f(m_1, m_2) \quad (m_1 \in N_1, m_2 \in N_2). \tag{5.14}$$

It then follows from Lemma 5.12 that $\omega(x, z, k) = \omega_o(x)\chi_1(z)$ for some $\omega_o \in \Delta_{K,\chi_1}(Q)$, and it remains to show only that f satisfying(5.14) is equivalent $h \in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi, \omega_o)$. If we write

$m_1 = (x_1, t_1)$ and $m_2 = (x_2, t_2)$, then the left-hand side of (5.14) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{N_1} \rho(n_1) f(n_1^{-1} m_1, m_2) dm_{N_1}(n_1) \\
= &\int_{Q_1} \int_{Z_1} \rho(q_1, z_1) f((x_1 - q_1, t_1 - z_1 + \beta_1(x_1, q_1)), (x_2, t_2))\, dm_{Z_1}(z_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) \\
= &\int_{Q_1} \int_{Z_1} \rho(q_1, z_1) h(x_1 - q_1, x_2) \chi_1(t_1 - z_1 + \beta_1(x_1, q_1)) \chi_2(t_2)\, dm_{Z_1}(z_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) \\
= &\ \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2) \cdot \int_{Q_1} \chi_1(\beta_1(x_1, q_1)) h(x_1 - q_1, x_2) \left(\int_{Z_1} \rho(q_1, z_1) \chi_1(-z_1)\, dm_{Z_1}(z_1) \right) dm_{Q_1}(q_1).
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the K-invariant function

$$\rho_{\chi_1}(q_1) := \int_{Z_1} \rho(q_1, z_1) \chi_1(-z_1)\, dm_{Z_1}(z_1)$$

this can be written as

$$\int_{N_1} \rho(n_1) f(n_1^{-1} m_1, m_2) dm_{N_1}(n_1) = \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2) \cdot \int_{Q_1} \chi_1(\beta_1(x_1, q_1)) h(x_1 - q_1, x_2) \rho_{\chi_1}(q_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1).$$

On the other hand, the right-hand side of (5.14) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
m_\omega(\rho) f(m_1, m_2) &= \int_G \rho(x) \omega(x^{-1}) dm_G(x) \cdot h(x_1, x_2) \chi_1(t_1) \chi_2(t_2) \\
&= \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2) \cdot \int_{Q_1} \int_{Z_1]} \rho(q_1, z_1) \omega(-q_1, -z_1)\, dm_{Z_1}(z_1) \cdot dm_{Q_1}(q_1) \\
&= \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2) \cdot \int_{Q_1} \omega_o(-q_1) \rho_{\chi_1}(q_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) h(x_1, x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus (5.14) is equivalent to

$$\int_{Q_1} \chi_1(\beta_1(x_1, q_1)) h(x_1 - q_1, x_2) \cdot \rho_{\chi_1}(q_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1) = \int_{Q_1} \omega_o(-q_1) \cdot h(x_1, x_2) \cdot \rho_{\chi_1}(q_1)\, dm_{Q_1}(q_1).$$

Now two functions integrate against a dense set of K-invariant functions, if and only if their averages along K-orbits agree, and thus (5.14) is equivalent to $h \in L^2(Q, \Delta, \chi, \omega_o)$. This finishes the proof. □

5.6. **Totally disconnected examples: Shadow transform vs. Hecke correspondence**

In the case where G is a totally disconnected lcsc group and $K < G$ is a compact open subgroup, the shadow transform is closely related to a classical object in harmonic analysis, the so-called *Hecke correspondence*, which we recall briefly.

For this let G be a totally-disconnected lcsc group, $K < G$ a compact open subgroup so that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, H another lcsc group and $\Gamma < G \times H$ a lattice with dense projections $\Gamma_G < G$ and $\Gamma_H < H$ such that $\Gamma \to \Gamma_G$ is an isomorphism. We will normalize the Haar measures on G and H such that $m_G(K) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma) = 1$. For the moment we do not assume that Γ is uniform.

Since Γ_G is dense in G and K is open, the multiplication map $K \times \Gamma_G \to G$ is onto. We denote by $g \mapsto (k_g, \gamma_g)$ a fixed Borel section of this map. As before we denote by $\tau : \Gamma_G \to H$ the map $\tau(g) = p_H(p_G^{-1}(g))$.

Proposition 5.14 (Hecke correspondence)**.** *Let* $\Gamma_K := \Gamma_G \cap K$ *and* $\Gamma_0 := \tau(\Gamma_K) < H$.

(i) $\Gamma_0 < H$ *is a lattice, which is uniform if and only if* Γ *is uniform.*
(ii) The map $j : K\backslash(G \times H)/\Gamma \to H/\Gamma_0$ *given by* $j([g,h]) := h\tau(\gamma_g^{-1})\Gamma_0$ *is a homeomorphism with inverse given by* $i : H/\Gamma_0 \to K\backslash(G \times H)/\Gamma$, $h\Gamma_0 \mapsto K(e,h)\Gamma$.
(iii) i *and* j *induce mutually inverse isomorphisms of* H*-representations*

$$i^* : L^2(Y)^K \to L^2(H/\Gamma_0) \quad \textit{and} \quad j^* : L^2(H/\Gamma_0) \to L^2(Y)^K.$$

(iv) The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ *acts on* $L^2(H/\Gamma_0)$ *via*

$$T(\rho)(f)(h\Gamma_0) = \int_G \rho(g) f(\tau(\gamma_g) h\Gamma_0)\, dm_G(g) \quad (\rho \in \mathcal{H}(G,K),\ f \in L^2(H/\Gamma_0)).$$

Proof. We first prove (ii). Observe first that for all $(g,h) \in G \times H$,

$$K(g,h)\Gamma = K(k_g\gamma_g, h)\Gamma = K(\gamma_g, h)\Gamma = K(e, h\tau(\gamma_g)^{-1})\Gamma.$$

This shows that the map $\pi : H \to K\backslash G \times H/\Gamma$ given by $\pi(h) := K(e,h)\Gamma$ is onto. Now assume that $\pi(h_1) = \pi(h_2)$. Then

$$\exists k \in K, \gamma \in \Gamma_G :\ (e,h_1) = k(e,h_2)(\gamma, \tau(\gamma)) = (k\gamma, h_2\tau(\gamma)) \tag{5.15}$$

This implies that $k\gamma = e$, hence $k = \gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_K$ and thus $h_1 \in h_2\Gamma_0$. Conversely, if $h_1 \in h_2\Gamma_0$ then (5.15) holds. Thus π factors through H/Γ_0 and defines a continuous bijection i as in the proposition with inverse j. Now note that H acts on $K\backslash(G \times H)/\Gamma$, since it commutes with K, and that i is H-equivariant. It follows that i is open, whence i and j are mutually inverse homeomorphisms. This proves (ii) and shows in particular that Γ_0 is of finite covolume, respectively cocompact in H if and only if $\Gamma < G \times H$ has the corresponding property. To show (i) it thus remains to show only that Γ_0 is discrete. However, for every compact subset $W \subset H$ we have

$$\Gamma_0 \cap W = \tau(\Gamma_K) \cap W = \tau(p_G((K \times W) \cap \Gamma)),$$

which is finite by discreteness of Γ. This finishes the proof of (i) and provides us with a unique H-invariant probability measure m_{H/Γ_0} on H/Γ_0. Now (ii) yields an H-equivariant isomorphism $i^* : C_c(Y)^K \to C_c(H/\Gamma_0)$, and under this identification the H-invariant measure m_Y on Y must correspond to m_{H/Γ_0}, hence we deduce that (iii) holds. In particular, $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ acts on $L^2(H/\Gamma_0)$ via

$$T(\rho)(f) := i^*(\pi_Y(\rho).(j^*f)) \quad (\rho \in \mathcal{H}(G,K), f \in L^2(H/\Gamma_0)).$$

Writing out the definitions of i^*, j^* and π_Y explicitly we end up with (iv). □

Example. The most classical examples of a Hecke correspondence is given by $G := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $K := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $H := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma := \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ sitting diagonally inside $G \times H$. In this case, $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and thus

$$\Lambda_2 := H/\Gamma_0 = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

can be identified with the space of homothety classes of lattices in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Here the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ is generated by the single element

$$\rho_0 := \chi_{Kg_pK} \in \mathcal{H}(G,K), \quad \text{where } g_p := \begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the action of the Hecke algebra on Λ_2 is determined by

$$T(\rho_0)f(\Lambda) = \sum_{[\Lambda:\Lambda']=p} f(\Lambda').$$

While Example 5.6 concerns a non-uniform lattice, here we are interested in the case of a uniform lattice $\Gamma < G \times H$. In this case, Γ_0 is cocompact in H, and we can decompose the representation $(L^2(H/\Gamma_0), T)$ of $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ as

$$L^2(H/\Gamma_0) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} L^2(H/\Gamma_0)_\omega,$$

where $L^2(H/\Gamma_0)_\omega \subset L^2(H/\Gamma_0)$ denotes the $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-eigenspace of weight ω. Given a function $r \in C_c(H)$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r \in C_c(H/\Gamma_0) \subset L^2(H/\Gamma_0)$ its periodization over Γ_0 and by $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r_\omega$ the projection of the latter onto $L^2(H/\Gamma_0)_\omega$.

Proposition 5.15 (Shadow transform vs. Hecke correspondence)**.** *Let $r \in C_c(H/\Gamma_0)$ and denote by $j^* : L^2(H/\Gamma_0) \to L^2(Y)^K$ the Hecke correspondence. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}r(\omega) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot \|j^*(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r_\omega)\|_2^2.$$

Proof. We recall from Proposition 4.6 that $\mathcal{S}r(\omega) = \|\widetilde{r}(\omega)\|_2^2$, where $\widetilde{r}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\overline{\widehat{f}(\omega)} \cdot \widetilde{r}(\omega)}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)} = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes r)) \tag{5.16}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$. Now observe that χ_K is a two-sided identity in $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$, and thus for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^+(G,K)$,

$$\widehat{\chi}_K(\omega) \cdot \widehat{h}(\omega) = \widehat{\chi_K * h}(\omega) = \widehat{h}(\omega),$$

and hence $\widehat{\chi}_K(\omega) = 1$. We can thus apply (5.16) with $f := \chi_K$ and obtain

$$\frac{\widetilde{r}(\omega)}{\mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)} = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\chi_K \otimes r)),$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{S}r(\omega) = \mathrm{covol}(\Gamma)^2 \cdot \|\pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\chi_K \otimes r))\|^2.$$

Now for $(g,h) \in G \times H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\chi_K \otimes r)((g,h)\Gamma) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_G} \chi_K(g\gamma) r(h\tau(\gamma)) &&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_G} \chi_K(k_g \gamma_g \gamma) r(h\tau(\gamma)) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_G} \chi_K(\gamma_g \gamma) r(h\tau(\gamma)) &&= \sum_{\gamma \in \gamma_g \Gamma_G} \chi_K(\gamma) r(h\tau(\gamma_g^{-1})\tau(\gamma)) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_G} \chi_K(\gamma) r(j([g,h])\tau(\gamma)) &&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_K} r(j([g,h])\tau(\gamma)) \\
&= \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0}(r \circ j)((g,h)\Gamma) &&= j^*(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r)((g,h)\Gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\chi_K \otimes r) = j^*(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r)$. Finally, by $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-equivariance of the Hecke-correspondence, we have

$$\widetilde{r}(\omega) = \pi_\omega(j^*(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r)) = j^*(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r)) = j^*(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} r_\omega),$$

and the proposition follows. □

Corollary 5.16 (Spherical diffraction formula for a compact-open K)**.** *In the situation of Proposition 5.15 the spherical diffraction $\widehat{\eta}_P$ of the model set P in G/K associated with the cut-and-project scheme (G,H,Γ) and window W_0 is given by*

$$\widehat{\eta}_P = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Gamma} \|\pi_\omega(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0} \chi_{W_0})\|_{H/\Gamma_0}^2 \cdot \delta_\omega.$$

□

APPENDIX A. APPROXIMATION OF THE SPHERICAL AUTO-CORRELATION

A.1. **Statement of the approximation theorem**

Throughout this appendix we consider the following situation. (G, K) is a Gelfand pair, Λ a regular model set (not necessarily uniform) in G associated with a cut-and-project scheme (G, H, Γ) and a window $W_0 \subset H$. We then denote by $P \subset G/K$ is the corresponding model set in G/K and by by ν the unique G-invariant measure on $Y_P^\times$. By definition, the spherical auto-correlation of P is given by $\eta_P := \eta_\nu$. The goal of this appendix is to provide an approximation formula for η_P under rather general circumstances.

We will identify compact subsets of G/K with right-K-invariant subset of G, and K-invariant (i.e. radial) subsets of G/K with bi-K-invariant subsets of G. Given a sequence (F_t) of compact subsets of G of positive measure we denote by (β_t) the associated sequence of probability measures on G defined by

$$\beta_t(\rho) = \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} \rho(s)\, dm_G(s), \quad \text{for } \rho \in C_c(G).$$

Definition A.1. Let (F_t) be a sequence of K-invariant compact subsets of G/K of positive Haar measure. Then (F_t) is called a *good approximation sequence* for Γ with respect to the Gelfand pair (G, K) if

(GAS1) (F_t) is weakly admissible in the sense of [6, Def. 5.1];

(GAS2) the associated sequence (β_t) of bi-K-invariant Borel probability measures on G satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \widehat{\beta}_t(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}(L^2((G \times H)/\Gamma)) \setminus \{1\}.$$

Theorem A.2 (Approximation of the spherical auto-correlation of a model set)**.** *Let $P \subset G/K$ be the regular model set associated with (G, H, Γ, W_0) and let (F_t) be a good approximation sequence for Γ with respect to the Gelfand pair (G, K). Then the spherical auto-correlation $\eta_P \in \mathcal{R}(K\backslash G/K)$ is given by*

$$\eta_P(f) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \sum_{x \in P_0 \cap F_t} \sum_{y \in P_0} f(x^{-1}y) \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)).$$

A.2. **Reduction to a pointwise ergodic theorem**

We now turn to the proof of Theorem A.2. Let $G, K, H, \Gamma, \Lambda, P$ be as above and fix a good approximation sequence (F_t) for Γ with respect to the Gelfand pair (G, K) with associated sequence (β_t) of bi-K-invariant Borel probability measures on G.

We recall from Proposition 2.11 that $\eta_P = \eta_\Lambda|_{\mathcal{H}(G,K)}$. In view of [6, Thm. 5.3], Theorem A.2 will thus follow if we can establish that the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is generic with respect to ν and (F_t) in the sense of [6, Def. 5.2], i.e. that

$$\eta_\nu(f_1^* * f_2) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} \overline{\mathcal{P}f_1(s^{-1}\Lambda)}\, \mathcal{P}f_2(s^{-1}\Lambda)\, dm_G(s) \quad \text{for all } f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(G, K).$$

This condition can be rephrased as a pointwise ergodic theorem for the parameter space $Y := (G \times H)/\Gamma$ as follows.

Definition A.3. A sequence (β_t) of bi-K-invariant Borel probability measures on G is called *pointwise good with respect to* (K, Y) if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_G h(g^{-1}.y)\, d\beta_t(g) = \int_Y h\, dm_Y \quad \text{for all } h \in C_b(Y)^K \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

Lemma A.4 (Genericity criterion)**.** *Let (F_t) be a sequence of K-invariant compact subsets of G/K of positive Haar measure, and let (β_t) the corresponding sequence of probability measures on G.*

If the sequence (β_t) is pointwise good with respect to (K, Y), then $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is generic with respect to ν and (F_t), and thus Theorem A.2 holds.

Proof. Denote by $\beta : X_\Lambda \to Y$ the periodization map from [6, Thm. 3.1] and let $y_0 := \beta(\Lambda) \in Y$. We recall from [6, Thm. 4.11 and Lemma 4.12] that for $f \in C_c(G)$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}f(P) = \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f \otimes \chi_{W_0})(\beta(P)). \tag{A.1}$$

Now let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$. Then by (A.1) and G-equivariance of β we have

$$\mathcal{P}f(s^{-1}\Lambda) = \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_j \otimes \chi_{W_0})(\beta(s^{-1}\Lambda)) = \mathcal{P}f(s^{-1}y_0) \quad (s \in G),$$

and hence if we define a function $h : Y \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$h(y) := \overline{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_1 \otimes \chi_{W_0})(y)}\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_2 \otimes \chi_{W_0})(y),$$

then by definition of the measures β_t we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} \overline{\mathcal{P}f_1(s^{-1}\Lambda)}\mathcal{P}f_2(s^{-1}\Lambda)\, dm_G(s) \\
= &\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} \overline{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_1 \otimes \chi_{W_0})(s^{-1}.y_0)}\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_2 \otimes \chi_{W_0})(s^{-1}.y_0) dm_G(s) \\
= &\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} h(s^{-1}.y_0) dm_G(s) \quad = \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_G h(g^{-1}.y_0)\, d\beta_t(g).
\end{aligned}$$

If we now assume that (β_t) is pointwise good, then unravelling the definition of h we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_G(F_t)} \int_{F_t} \overline{\mathcal{P}f_1(s^{-1}\Lambda)}\mathcal{P}f_2(s^{-1}\Lambda)\, dm_G(s) \\
= &\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_G h(g^{-1}.y_0)\, d\beta_t(g) \quad = \quad \int_Y h\, dm_Y \\
= &\langle \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_1 \otimes \chi_{W_0}), \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_2 \otimes \chi_{W_0})\rangle_Y \quad = \quad \eta_\nu(f_1^* * f_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is generic with respect to ν and (F_t). □

A.3. **From the spectral condition to the pointwise ergodic theorem**

We keep the notation of the previous subsection. In order to finish the proof of Theorem A.2 it remains to show only that every sequence (β_t) of bi-K-invariant probability measures on G satisfying the spectral condition (GAS2) from Definition A.1 above is pointwise good with respect to (K, Y). By a classical argument (recalled in Proposition A.6 below), Condition (GAS2) implies that the sequence (β_t) satisfies a spherical mean ergodic theorem. The work of Gorodnik and Nevo [17, 18, 19, 20, 21] investigates in great generality under which conditions one can sharpen mean ergodic theorems for (possibly non-amenable) groups into pointwise statements. Specializing some of their ideas to the setting at hand we will show that in our setting the mean ergodic theorem implies the desired pointwise statement, thereby finishing the proof of Theorem A.2.

Let us start by formulating the mean ergodic theorem which is implied by the spectral condition (GAS2).

Definition A.5. Let (Y,ν) be a G-probability space. A sequence (β_t) of bi-K-invariant Borel probability measures on G is called *weakly ergodic* with respect to (K,Y) if for every $f \in L^2(Y)^K$ the functions

$$F_t(y) := \int_G f(s^{-1}y)\, d\beta_t(s)$$

converge to the constant function $\int_Y f\, dm_Y$ with respect to the weak topology in $L^2(Y)$ as $t \to \infty$.

With this terminology understood, the classical mean ergodic theorem for Gelfand pairs can be formulated as follows.

Proposition A.6. *Let (Y,ν) be an ergodic G-probability space and let (β_t) be a sequence of bi-K-invariant Borel probability measures on G . Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) (β_t) satisfies the spectral condition (GAS2).
(ii) (β_t) is weakly ergodic with respect to (K,Y).

To keep our exposition self-contained, we recall the well-known proof.

Proof. We consider the subrepresentation $W := L^2_o(Y) \subset L^2(Y)$. Then

$$\mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}(L^2(Y)) \setminus \{1\} \subset \mathrm{supp}(\nu_W) \subset \mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}(L^2(Y))$$

and since (Y,ν) is ergodic, the trivial representation is not contained in W, and thus $\nu_W(\{1\}) = 0$ by Lemma 3.5. Observe that (ii) is equivalent to the condition

$$\langle \pi_W(\beta_t) f_1, f_2\rangle_{L^2(Y)} \to 0 \quad (f_1, f_2 \in L^2_o(Y)^K),$$

which by polarization is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \langle f, \pi_W(\beta_t) f\rangle = 0 \quad (f \in L^2_o(Y)^K). \tag{A.2}$$

Under the isomorphism

$$W^K \cong \int_{\mathcal{S}^+(G,K)}^{\oplus} V_\omega d\nu_W(\omega)$$

the function f corresponds to a vector field (f_ω) on $\mathrm{supp}(\nu_W)$ and we have

$$\pi_W(\beta_t).(f_\omega) = (\widehat{\beta}_t(\omega)\cdot f_\omega).$$

Thus (A.2) is equivalent to $\lim \widehat{\beta}_t(\omega) = 0$ for ν_W-almost all ω, or equivalently, $\nu_{L^2(Y,\nu)}$-almost all $\omega \in \mathrm{spec}_{(G,K)}(L^2(Y)) \setminus \{1\}$. This shows that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. □

At this point we have reduced the proof of Theorem A.2 to the following purely ergodic theoretic statement:

Theorem A.7 (Weak ergodicity implies pointwise goodness)**.** *If a sequence (β_t) of bi-K-invariant Borel probability measures on G is weakly ergodic with respect to (K,Y), then it is pointwise good with respect to (K,Y).*

The proof of Theorem A.7 is based on two lemmas. The first one is just a convenient reformulation of Definition A.5.

Lemma A.8. *Suppose that ν is a bounded positive Borel measure on Y which is absolutely continuous with respect to m_Y with a square-integrable density. If (β_t) is weakly ergodic with respect to (K,Y), then $\check{\beta}_t * \nu \to m_Y$ in the vague topology.*

The second ingredient is the following continuity result, which is known in various related forms and which we state and prove here for ease of reference.

Lemma A.9. *Let $\psi \in C_c(G \times H)$ be non-negative and fix $y \in Y$. Then the bounded non-negative measure ν on Y, defined by*

$$\nu(f) = (\psi * \delta_y)(f) = \int_G \int_H \psi(g,h) f((g,h).y)\, dm_G(g) dm_H(h) \quad \text{for } f \in C_c(Y),$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to m_Y with a bounded density.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma when $y = (e,e)\Gamma$. We begin by noting that ν is uniquely determined by its values at functions f of the form

$$f((g,h)\Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F((g,h)\gamma), \quad \text{where } F \in C_c(G \times H),$$

and a straightforward calculation shows that if f has this form, then

$$\nu(f) = \int_G F(g,h) \rho_\psi((g,h)\Gamma)\, dm_G(g)\, dm_G(h) = \int_Y f(y) \rho_\psi(y)\, dm_Y(y),$$

where

$$\rho_\psi((g,h)\Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi((g,h)\gamma).$$

This shows that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to m_Y with density ρ_ψ. To prove that this density is bounded, we obtserve that

$$|\rho_\psi((g,h)\Gamma)| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \cdot |\operatorname{supp}(\psi) \cap (g,h)\Gamma|$$

Since Γ has finite local complexity and $\operatorname{supp}(\psi)$ is compact, the right-hand side is uniformly bounded (see e.g. [5, (2.1)]). □

Proof of Theorem A.7. Given a non-negative $\psi \in C_c(G \times H)$ and a bounded positive measure ν on Y, we define a new bounded positive measure $\psi_* \nu$ on Y by

$$\psi_* \nu(\phi) := \nu(\check{\psi} * \phi).$$

With this notation we have to show that for every $y \in Y$ the sequence $(\check{\beta}_t)_* \delta_y$ converges to m_Y in the vague topology. Note that the set $\{(\check{\beta}_t)_* \delta_y\}$ is vaguely sequentially pre-compact in the space of all sub-probability measures. It thus suffices to show that every vague limit point ν coincides with m_Y.

Thus assume that ν is a sub-probability measure given by $\nu = \lim (\check{\beta}_{t_n})_* \delta_y$ for some subsequence (t_n) and note that ν is automatically K-invariant. We have to show that $\nu = m_Y$. For this it suffices to show that $\rho_* \nu = m_Y$ for every probability density $\rho \in C_c(G \times H)^K$, for then we can extract an approximate identity (ρ_n) of such functions and conclude that

$$\nu = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\rho_n)_* \nu = m_Y.$$

Thus let $\rho \in C_c(G \times H)^K$ be a probability density and $f \in C_c(Y)$. Observe that $\check{\beta}_t$ commutes with $C_c(H)$ since the G and H actions commute, and thus, by commutativity of the Hecke algebra, the $\check{\beta}_t$ commute with every $\rho \in C_c(G \times H)^K$ with respect to convolution. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_* \nu(f) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_*((\check{\beta}_{t_n})_* \delta_y)(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\rho * \check{\beta}_{t_n})_* \delta_y(f) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} (\check{\beta}_{t_n} * \rho)_* \delta_y(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\rho_* \delta_y)(\beta_{t_n} * f). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma A.9, $\rho_*\delta_y$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_Y with a bounded (hence square-integrable) density. By Lemma A.8, we conclude that the last limit above is equal to $m_Y(f)$, which finishes the proof. □

This finishes the proof of Theorem A.2.

A.4. **Examples of good approximation sequences**

In order to apply Theorem A.2 we need to construct good approximate sequences in the lcsc groups we are interested in. The existence of such sequences depends very much on the class of groups in question. As we will see, many amenable and all semisimple groups admit plenty of good approximate sequences, but for very different reasons (existence of Følner sets vs. mixing).

As for amenable groups, we have already pointed out in [6] that every weakly admissible Følner sequence is a good approximation sequence. We do not know whether every amenable groups admits such a sequence, but many examples of interest do.

In the semisimple case, the existence of good approximation sequences is guaranteed by the Howe–Moore theorem, as we will explain next. Recall first that if G is a semisimple real Lie group, then all maximal compact subgroups of G are conjugate, and if K is any such subgroup, then (G, K) is a Gelfand pair. Similarly, if G is a semisimple group over a non-Archimidean local field, then we can construct a Gelfand pair (G, K) by choosing K to be a vertex stabilizer of the associated Bruhat-Tits building. Generalizing both cases, if G is an S-adic semisimple group in the sense of [4], then we obtain a Gelfand pair (G, K) by choosing K to be the product of the maximal compact subgroups of the Archimedean local factors and the vertex stabilizers of the Bruhat-Tits buildings associated with the non-Archimedean local factors. For these Gelfand pairs we have:

Proposition A.10 (Good approximation sequences in semisimple groups)**.** *Let G be a S-adic semisimple group, $\Gamma < G$ a lattice and $K < G$ as above. Then every weakly admissible sequence (F_t) is a good approximation sequence for Γ with respect to the Gelfand pair (G, K).*

Proof. Every $\omega \in S^+(G, K) \setminus \{1\}$ is a matrix coefficient for some non-trivial irreducible spherical representation V of G. Since V is irreducible and non-trivial, it does not contain any fixed vectors, hence its matrix coefficients decay by the (S-adic version of the) Howe–Moore theorem. □

Proposition A.10 is related to the fact that the G-action on Y is *mixing*, a phenomenon which does not occur in the abelian case. To illustrate further the connection between good approximation sequences in non-amenable groups and mixing, we consider a class of examples of model sets in (automorphism groups of) trees.

Let $T = T_d$ be a d-regular tree, $o \in T$ a vertex, $G := \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ the full automorphism group and $K := \mathrm{Stab}(o)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G, and (G, K) is a Gelfand pair (see [14] for a discussion of these Gelfand pairs). G contains a topologically simple subgroup G_0 of index 2, which contains K (see [32]). If we fix a bi-partite coloring of the vertices of T, then G_0 can be defined as the subgroup of coloring preserving automorphisms of T. By [24], G_0 has the Howe–Moore property.

Let us briefly explain how to construct model sets in G_0 using the results from [7, 8].

Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by S_d the permutation group on d letters and let $F < S_d$ be a 2-transitive subgroup, e.g. the alternating group A_d. As explained in [7, Sec. 3.2] one obtains a subgroup $U(F) < \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ called the *universal group* of F. Roughly speaking, $U(F)$ consists

of those automorphisms of T_d which on each vertex link induce a permutation from F with respect to some fixed legal coloring, and different colorings lead to conjugate subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$. If d_1, d_2 are large even integers ($d_1 \geq 30$ and $d_2 \geq 38$ is sufficient), then Burger and Mozes construct in [8, Thm. 6.3] a lattice

$$\Gamma_1 < U(A_{d_1}) \times U(A_{d_2}) < \mathrm{Aut}(T_{d_1}) \times \mathrm{Aut}(T_{d_2})$$

with the following properties. The projections of Γ_1 into $U(A_{d_1})$ and $U(A_{d_2})$ are dense, Γ_1 is torsion-free, cocompact and admits a finite index simple subgroup Γ.

Proposition A.11 (Model sets of Burger–Mozes type)**.** *Let $d \geq 30$ be an even integer, $G := \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$, $G_0 < G$ the index 2 subgroup, $H := U(A_{38})$ and Γ as above. Then Γ is a simple cocompact lattice in $G_0 \times H$, and (G, H, Γ) and (G_0, H, Γ) are model set triples.*

Proof. By [8, Thm. 6.3], Γ_1 is cocompact and projects densely into H; the finite index subgroup Γ inherits these properties. The projection of Γ to G is non-trivial, since it has finite index in the projection of Γ_1 which is dense in $U(A_{d_1})$. Since Γ is simple, the projection is injective and the finite index subgroup $\Gamma \cap (G_0 \times H)$ coincides with Γ, i.e. Γ is contained in $G_0 \times H$. □

We now discuss approximation sequences for Γ. Observe that bi-K-invariant subsets in G correspond via the projection $\pi : G \to G/K = T$ to radial subsets of T. Thus the most obvious sequence of bi-K-invariant sets in G is $(F_t := \pi^{-1}(B_t(o)))_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$, and these are easily seen to be weakly admissible. Similarly, the sets $(F_{t,0}) := (F_t \cap G_0)$ form a weakly admissible sequence in G_0. Since G_0 has the Howe-Moore property we deduce as in the Lie group case that the sequence $(F_{t,0})$ is a good approximation sequence for Γ with respect to (G_0, K). On the other hand we have:

Proposition A.12 (Nevo–Stein phenomenon for model sets of Burger–Mozes type)**.** *The sequence (F_t) is not a good approximation sequence for Γ with respect to (G, K), but the sequence (F_{2t}) is.*

The second part of the proposition is immediate from the Howe-Moore property of G_0. The reason for the failure of the spectral property in the former case is a lack of mixing:

Lemma A.13. *The action of G on $Y = (G \times H)/\Gamma$ is not mixing. In fact, G_0 does not act ergodically.*

Proof. If G_0 acted ergodically on Y, then Γ would have to act ergodically on $(G/G_0) \times H$ by the duality principle. However, a finite index subgroup of Γ preserves the open subset $\{e\} \times H \subset (G/G_0) \times H$. Since Γ is simple and infinite, this finite index subgroup coincides with Γ, contradicting ergodicity. □

Proof of Proposition A.12. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is generated by a single element σ_1, hence spherical functions ω are determined by $\widehat{\sigma_1}(\omega)$. Explicitly, σ_1 is the characteristic function of the clopen subset $\pi^{-1}(S_1(o))$, where $S_1(o) \subset T$ denotes the 1-sphere around o. The sign function $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{S}^+(G, K)$ is the unique positive definite spherical function with $\widehat{\sigma_1}(\omega_0) = -1$.

Let now G_0 as in Lemma A.13. We deduce from the proposition that there exists a non-zero function $f_0 \in L^2_o(Y)^{G_0}$. Since $K < G_0$, the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ acts on $L^2(Y)^{G_0} = L^2((G/G_0)\times H/\Gamma)$, and $\sigma_1 * \sigma_1$ acts trivially on $L^2(Y)^{G_0}$. Thus if we define $f := f_0 - \sigma_1 * f_0$, then $\sigma_1 * f = -f$, and thus the sign function ω_0 is contained in the spectrum of $L^2_o((G \times H)/\Gamma)$. Since $\widehat{\beta}_t(\omega_0) \not\to 0$ for the sequence (β_t) associated to (F_t), the sequence (F_t) is not a good approximation sequence for Γ. □

The proposition shows in particular that the spectral condition in the approximation theorem is not always satisfied automatically in the non-amenable case and can be rather subtle.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Baake, D. Frettlöh, and U. Grimm. A radial analogue of Poisson's summation formula with applications to powder diffraction and pinwheel patterns. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 57(5):1331–1343, 2007.

[2] M. Baake and U. Grimm. *Aperiodic order. Vol. 1*, volume 149 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. A mathematical invitation, With a foreword by Roger Penrose.

[3] M. Baake and D. Lenz. Dynamical systems on translation bounded measures: pure point dynamical and diffraction spectra. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(6):1867–1893, 2004.

[4] Y. Benoist and J.-F. Quint. Lattices in S-adic Lie groups. *J. Lie Theory*, 24(1):179–197, 2014.

[5] M. Bjorklund and T. Hartnick. Approximate lattices. *Preprint*, 2016. on arXiv: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.09246`.

[6] M. Bjorklund, T. Hartnick, and F. Pogorzelski. Aperiodic order and spherical diffraction, i: Auto-correlation of model sets. *Preprint*, 2017.

[7] M. Burger and S. Mozes. Groups acting on trees: from local to global structure. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (92):113–150 (2001), 2000.

[8] M. Burger and S. Mozes. Lattices in product of trees. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (92):151–194 (2001), 2000.

[9] C. Ciobotaru. A note on type I groups acting on d-regular trees. *preprint*, 2015. on arXiv: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.02950`.

[10] Y. Cornulier and P. de la Harpe. *Metric geometry of locally compact groups*, volume 25 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2016.

[11] G. v. Dijk. *Introduction to Harmonic Analysisand Generalized Gelfand Paris*, volume 26 of *Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter, 2009.

[12] J. Dixmier. *C^*-algebras*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. Translated from the French by Francis Jellett, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15.

[13] S. Dworkin. Spectral theory and x-ray diffraction. *J. Math. Phys.*, 34(7):2965–2967, 1993.

[14] A. Figà-Talamanca and C. Nebbia. *Harmonic analysis and representation theory for groups acting on homogeneous trees*, volume 162 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[15] G. Folland. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, volume 172 of *Studies in advanced mathematics*. CRC-Press, 1995.

[16] R. Godement. Introduction aux travaux de A. Selberg. In *Exposé no. 144, February 1957*, volume 4 of *Séminaire Bourbaki*, pages 95–110. Soc. Math. France, 1957.

[17] A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo. *The ergodic theory of lattice subgroups*, volume 172 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.

[18] A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo. Counting lattice points. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 663:127–176, 2012.

[19] A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo. On Arnold's and Kazhdan's equidistribution problems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 32(6):1972–1990, 2012.

[20] A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo. Ergodic theory and the duality principle on homogeneous spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 24(1):159–244, 2014.

[21] A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo. Quantitative ergodic theorems and their number-theoretic applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 52(1):65–113, 2015.

[22] A. Hof. On diffraction by aperiodic structures. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 169(1):25–43, 1995.

[23] A. Hof. Uniform distribution and the projection method. In *Quasicrystals and discrete geometry (Toronto, ON, 1995)*, volume 10 of *Fields Inst. Monogr.*, pages 201–206. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[24] A. Lubotzky and S. Mozes. Asymptotic properties of unitary representations of tree automorphisms. In *Harmonic analysis and discrete potential theory (Frascati, 1991)*, pages 289–298. Plenum, New York, 1992.

[25] Y. Meyer. *Nombres de Pisot, nombres de Salem et analyse harmonique*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 117. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. Cours Peccot donné au Collège de France en avril-mai 1969.

[26] R. V. Moody. Meyer sets and their duals. In *The mathematics of long-range aperiodic order (Waterloo, ON, 1995)*, volume 489 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 403–441. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.

[27] R. V. Moody. Meyer sets and their duals. In *From quasicrystals to more complex systems (Les Houches, 1998)*, pages 145–166. Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[28] A. Nevo and E. M. Stein. A generalization of Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem. *Acta Math.*, 173(1):135–154, 1994.
[29] M. S. Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68.
[30] M. Schlottmann. Generalized model sets and dynamical systems. In *Directions in mathematical quasicrystals*, volume 13 of *CRM Monogr. Ser.*, pages 143–159. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
[31] B. Solomyak. Spectrum of dynamical systems arising from Delone sets. In *Quasicrystals and discrete geometry (Toronto, ON, 1995)*, volume 10 of *Fields Inst. Monogr.*, pages 265–275. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
[32] J. Tits. Sur le groupe des automorphismes d'un arbre. In *Essays on topology and related topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham)*, pages 188–211. Springer, New York, 1970.
[33] J. A. Wolf. *Harmonic analysis on commutative spaces*, volume 142 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS, GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: micbjo@chalmers.se

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TECHNION, HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL
E-mail address: hartnick@tx.technion.ac.il

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TECHNION, HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL
E-mail address: felixp@tx.technion.ac.il